



ISSN: 1672 - 6553

**JOURNAL OF DYNAMICS
AND CONTROL**
VOLUME 9 ISSUE 12: P173-203

**VISIONARY LEADERSHIP: I FORESEE
THE EVOLVING FUTURE
PRESCIENTLY**

Prof Dr S Sandhya, NSOM – NITTE, India

Prof Dr Carin – Isabel Knoops, Executive

Director Harvard Business School, USA

Col Prof Dr J Satpathy, The Management

University, Nairobi, Kenya

Prof Dr Michael Sturman, Rutgers University,
USA

VISIONARY LEADERSHIP: I FORESEE THE EVOLVING FUTURE PRESCIENTLY

Prof Dr S Sandhya, NSOM – NITTE, India

Prof Dr Carin – Isabel Knoops, Executive Director Harvard Business School, USA

Col Prof Dr J Satpathy, The Management University, Nairobi, Kenya

Prof Dr Michael Sturman, Rutgers University, USA

Abstract

Globalization and trade liberalization coupled with rapid advances in information and communications technology have resulted in an unprecedented intensification of market competition worldwide. Successful firms are integrating functions and processes. For this to happen, leaders need to take a visionary leadership role in an integrative and collaborative learning process. Leaders are encouraged to play a substantial role in transforming the metrics of the firm from a control system into a true knowledge creation system. Visionary leadership today has become a very multi meaning term; professionals from various disciplines have defined 'visionary leadership' in different ways. Paradigm shifts in the cultures of organizations and the consistent parallel and horizontal development of companies have raised the need to look at visionary leadership in a new angle. Visionary leadership plays a significant role in shaping individuals, communities, organizations, and societies. It acts as an essential backbone that guides collective efforts towards common goals and aspirations. Efficient leaders not only demonstrate the capacity to influence and motivate the individuals on their team but also help them steer through challenges while creating environments where individuals and groups flourish. The importance of visionary leadership extends beyond just authority or position and covers the ability to motivate positive change, drive innovation, and create sustainable impact in various fields. It comes in multiple forms and can flourish in a variety of contexts – be it corporate board rooms, community ventures, schools, or government. Wherever it is found, it is a force pushing for development, advancement and good change. Leaders with vision shape the future of Organizations, Society and Nations (even Planet). This paper explores the concepts, qualities, and implications of visionary leadership in various organizational contexts. Visionary leadership is defined as a style that entails the ability to create a compelling vision for the future, inspiring followers to achieve that vision. The paper highlights the historical context of visionary leaders, showcasing examples from renowned figures such as Martin Luther King Jr., Steve Jobs, and Nelson Mandela. By analyzing their characteristics, such as charisma, communication skills, and strategic thinking, the paper elucidates how these leaders drove transformational change within their organizations and societies. It emphasizes the importance of visionary leadership in navigating complex challenges and fostering innovation within modern enterprises. Additionally, the piece addresses potential pitfalls of leadership that lacks vision, including stagnation and lack of direction among teams. The paper concludes by urging current and aspiring leaders to cultivate their visionary capabilities, suggesting practices that can enhance their effectiveness such as setting clear goals, engaging teams in the vision-building process, and maintaining adaptability in the face of change. A case study on the West Indies Cricket team is reflected upon.

Globalisierung und Handelsliberalisierung, gepaart mit rasanten Fortschritten in der Informations- und Kommunikationstechnologie, haben zu einer beispiellosen Verschärfung des weltweiten Wettbewerbs geführt. Erfolgreiche Unternehmen integrieren Funktionen und Prozesse. Dafür müssen Führungskräfte eine visionäre Führungsrolle in einem integrativen und kollaborativen Lernprozess einnehmen. Sie sind angehalten, maßgeblich dazu beizutragen, die Kennzahlen des Unternehmens von einem Kontrollsystem in ein System zur Wissensgenerierung zu transformieren. Visionäre Führung ist heute ein vielschichtiger Begriff; Fachleute verschiedenster Disziplinen definieren ihn unterschiedlich. Paradigmenwechsel in den Unternehmenskulturen und die kontinuierliche parallele und horizontale Entwicklung von Unternehmen erfordern eine neue Betrachtungsweise visionärer Führung. Visionäre Führung prägt maßgeblich Einzelpersonen, Gemeinschaften, Organisationen und Gesellschaften. Sie bildet das Rückgrat, das kollektive Anstrengungen auf gemeinsame Ziele und Bestrebungen ausrichtet. Effiziente Führungskräfte beweisen nicht nur die Fähigkeit, ihre Teammitglieder zu beeinflussen und zu motivieren, sondern unterstützen sie auch bei der Bewältigung von Herausforderungen und schaffen ein Umfeld, in dem Einzelpersonen und Gruppen sich optimal entfalten können. Die Bedeutung visionärer Führung geht weit über Autorität und Position hinaus und umfasst die Fähigkeit, positive Veränderungen anzustoßen, Innovationen voranzutreiben und in verschiedenen Bereichen nachhaltige Wirkung zu erzielen. Sie tritt in vielfältigen Formen auf und kann in unterschiedlichsten Kontexten gedeihen – sei es in Unternehmensvorständen, gemeinnützigen Projekten, Schulen oder der öffentlichen Verwaltung. Wo immer sie anzutreffen ist, wirkt sie als treibende Kraft für Entwicklung, Fortschritt und positive Veränderungen. Führungskräfte mit Visionen gestalten die Zukunft von Organisationen, Gesellschaften und Nationen (und sogar unseres Planeten). Diese Arbeit untersucht die Konzepte, Eigenschaften und Auswirkungen visionärer Führung in verschiedenen organisatorischen Kontexten. Visionäre Führung wird als ein Führungsstil definiert, der die Fähigkeit beinhaltet, eine überzeugende Zukunftsvision zu entwickeln und die Anhänger zu inspirieren, diese Vision zu verwirklichen. Die Arbeit beleuchtet den historischen Kontext visionärer Führungskräfte und zeigt Beispiele bekannter Persönlichkeiten wie Martin Luther King Jr., Steve Jobs und Nelson Mandela. Durch die Analyse ihrer Merkmale wie Charisma, Kommunikationsfähigkeit und strategisches Denken verdeutlicht die Arbeit, wie diese Führungskräfte transformative Veränderungen in ihren Organisationen und Gesellschaften bewirkten. Sie unterstreicht die Bedeutung visionärer Führung für die Bewältigung komplexer Herausforderungen und die Förderung von Innovationen in modernen Unternehmen. Darüber hinaus thematisiert der Beitrag potenzielle Gefahren visionsloser Führung, darunter Stagnation und Orientierungslosigkeit in Teams. Abschließend appelliert er an aktuelle und zukünftige Führungskräfte, ihre visionären Fähigkeiten zu entwickeln, und schlägt Praktiken vor, die ihre Effektivität steigern können, wie beispielsweise das Setzen klarer Ziele, die Einbindung von Teams

in den Visionsentwicklungsprozess und die Aufrechterhaltung der Anpassungsfähigkeit angesichts von Veränderungen. Eine Fallstudie über das Cricket-Team der West Indies wird ebenfalls betrachtet.

Key Words: Visionary Leadership, Charisma, Strategic Thinking and Transformational Change

Introduction: Organizations today are facing rapidly changing conditions with new technology, new structures, and global economic competition and increasing diversity, along with other changes, and the global economy has redefined the dynamics of competition for modern organizations. There are times when emergencies such as natural disaster, major epidemics, etc. occur but the more likely case is when a short-term assignment requires a major shift in the way things are normally accomplished and at times of crisis, every organization needs individuals who will stand by it and support it like a pillar by managing themselves and leading others rather than panicking. Successful organizations are now focusing on integrating functions and processes, and for this to happen, leaders need to take a visionary leadership role in an integrative and collaborative learning process. Leaders with vision shape the future of Organizations, Society and the Nations (even Planet). Leaders are encouraged to play a substantial role in transforming the metrics of the organization from a control system into a true knowledge creation system. The challenge is to turn knowledge into action. An assumption underlying the study of visionary leadership is that leaders affect organizational performance. Boards of Directors; highest-level executives of organization, make same assumption. A frequent antidote to major organizational problems is to replace the leader in the hope that the newly appointed leader will reverse performance problems.

The paper examines the concept of visionary leadership, which involves articulating a compelling future vision to inspire and mobilize followers towards a common goal. Visionary leadership is often heralded as an effective strategy for motivating teams and driving collective action, as it invokes a shared aspiration among followers. However, the review presented in this chapter offers a critical assessment of the existing evidence surrounding the efficacy of visionary leadership. The authors argue that while the general sentiment towards visionary leadership tends to be positive, the supporting empirical research is insufficient and lacks depth, indicating that current conclusions may be overly optimistic. This raises caution for scholars and practitioners alike to avoid complacency regarding the established beliefs in visionary leadership. The authors suggest that the field must progress by deepening the understanding of visionary leadership through more robust and nuanced research methodologies. They emphasize the need for a more critical lens on the effectiveness of this leadership style and urge a move away from being overly satisfied with the current literature. Through this examination, the authors aim to illuminate the gaps within the current framework of visionary leadership studies while urging for more comprehensive evidence to support or refute the claimed effectiveness of such leadership practices.

Aim: What are the essential qualities of a visionary leader? How can leaders develop their visionary capabilities? Why is visionary leadership crucial in modern organizations? What are the risks of lacking a vision in leadership? The paper provides a nuanced exploration of visionary leadership, reinforcing its importance in today's rapidly changing landscape. Visionary leaders are not merely individuals who envision a future; they are architects of guidance and inspiration. For leaders, the ability to forge a vision that resonates emotionally with team members can precipitate a committed, high-performing workforce. Paper offers a compelling examination of the qualities and implications of visionary leadership in today's rapidly changing world. It argues that the role of leaders is becoming increasingly complex as societal, technological, and environmental challenges heighten. The authors posit that contemporary leaders must be adept not only in their fields but also in anticipating the multifaceted impacts of their decisions. The authors effectively illustrate that the hallmark of great leaders like King and Jobs is their unwavering belief in a vision that transcends self-interest for the greater good. Reflecting on the evidence, one can see a clear correlation between a strong organizational vision and increased employee engagement, satisfaction, and productivity. Furthermore, organizations lacking a defined vision may find themselves struggling with stagnancy and disinterest among staff, which can lead to detrimental outcomes over time. Since the complexities of the modern business world demand both agility and innovation, leaders must commit to ongoing personal development to refine their visionary capabilities. Finally, aspiring leaders must cultivate a forward-thinking approach, recognizing that leadership is not a static trait but a dynamic skill that evolves with time. Engaging teams in the vision-building process is essential, as it fosters a sense of ownership and shared purpose, ultimately driving success.

Scope: The introduction of visionary leadership is presented, defining it as the art of communicating an inspiring future and persuading followers to work towards that future. This form of leadership is often deemed effective in mobilizing individuals. The paper critiques the prevailing beliefs regarding the effectiveness of visionary leadership, emphasizing that the existing research does not sufficiently support the optimistic claims about its performance and impact. The authors warn against easily accepting the positive views of visionary leadership without recognizing the lack of rigorous evidence and research backing such claims. A call to action is made for the organizational community to delve deeper into the subject of visionary leadership, seeking to expand the current understanding through substantial research efforts, rather than settling for surface-level conclusions.

Visionary leadership is characterized by three key dimensions: speed, depth, and complexity. The paper emphasizes that leaders must act swiftly to make crucial decisions that affect their organizations while simultaneously engaging deeply with the ramifications of those decisions. It also addresses the notion that the rising pace of change can lead to unforeseen challenges, thus creating a deadlier environment, particularly in crises. Moreover, the paper discusses the importance of adaptability and continuous learning for leaders. To navigate deeper complexities, leaders must foster environments that encourage innovation and collaboration. By leveraging diverse perspectives, they can enhance their

understanding and anticipation of future challenges. The necessity for emotional intelligence is highlighted, as effective leaders must empathize with their teams and stakeholders in order to align collective efforts toward common goals. In essence, the paper argues for a redefinition of leadership in the modern era. As challenges evolve, so too must the leaders who face them. The blend of speed, depth, and an understanding of the deadly stakes involved underlines the essence of visionary leadership that is required in the current landscape. Ultimately, the narrative advocates for a leadership approach that is proactive, responsive, and deeply engaged with both present circumstances and future possibilities.

The paper spotlights how the nature of leadership is evolving. Traditional leadership skills are not sufficient for the complexities of modern environments. Leaders are tasked with making quicker decisions while fully engaging with the possible implications of their choices. This dynamic encourages a balance between action and reflection. There's a strong link between societal shifts and the need for new leadership approaches. As society becomes interwoven with technology and globalization, leaders face unique challenges that require not just technical skills but also cultural and emotional awareness to navigate various stakeholder interests. The paper's call for a visionary perspective underscores the importance of looking beyond immediate challenges. Leaders who can anticipate future developments equip their teams to adapt more effectively to changes, thereby increasing resilience against unforeseen threats. Technology plays a pivotal role in shaping not only the pace of change but also the level of complexity that leaders must manage. The rapid advancement of technology presents opportunities and threats, and the best leaders will harness these technologies while being aware of their potential detriments.

Leadership: A simple definition of leadership is that leadership is the art of motivating a group of people to act towards achieving a common goal. Put even more simply, the leader is the inspiration and director of the action. He or she is the person in the group that possesses the combination of personality and skills that makes others want to follow his or her direction. In organisations, leadership is welded to performance. Effective leaders are those who increase their organisation's bottom lines. To further confuse the issue, we tend to use the terms 'leadership' and 'management' interchangeably, referring to a company's management structure as its leadership, or to individuals who are actually leaders as the 'leaders' of various management teams. To be effective, a leader certainly has to manage the resources at her disposal. But leadership also involves communicating, inspiring and supervising - just to name three more of the main skills a leader has to have to be successful. Is a leader born or made? While there are people who seem to be naturally endowed with more leadership abilities than others, I believe that people can learn to become leaders by concentrating on improving particular leadership skills.

Leaders have a mission, and they don't just have that, they have stakeholders who they lead and serve in the fulfillment of that mission. Leaders balance multiple stakeholders who they lead and serve in the fulfillment of a mission. They can be individuals and groups with complementary missions that you need to engage and co-create with. Identifying stakeholders is very important because they are the people who follow you and the ones you serve. You need different kinds of capital to achieve your mission: Intellectual capital, social capital, resources and investments. When you prioritize and take care of the people you lead and work with, they take care of your customers and mission. The old models of leadership attitude in the world have changed. We need new models of leadership attitude for the new world we live in. We have gone over the effects of increased volatility, uncertainty, complexity, and ambiguity. Co-elevations and leading without authority help us redefine the social contract and the way we interact with people we work with. New critical leadership attitude competencies are required from leaders today. The main focus of a leader should be on delivering transformational outcomes. As leaders, we need to primarily focus on our strengths and secondarily focus on improving our weaknesses. Leaders are purpose-driven and they become good at helping others attach to their purpose along with them. It is called purpose / meaning-making.

The idea of visionary leadership encompasses the dynamic interplay between a leader's vision and followers' behaviors. However, the paper challenges the assumption that simply articulating a compelling vision guarantees active participation and is beneficial, suggesting a need to explore the influence of contextual and individual factors. From a research standpoint, the authors point out that existing study may predominantly rely on anecdotal evidence, thus undermining the credibility of their findings. Rigorous quantitative and qualitative research is imperative to validate the claims made about visionary leadership. For organizational leaders, understanding that visionary leadership may not be the universal solution to motivating followers is crucial. This insight encourages leaders to blend their visionary pursuits with evidence-based strategies and adaptively tailor their approaches to fit the unique needs of their teams.

The forward to the Drucker Foundation's 'The Leader of the Future' sums up leadership: 'The only definition of a leader is someone who has followers.' To gain followers requires influence but doesn't exclude the lack of integrity in achieving this. Indeed, it can be argued that several of the world's greatest leaders have lacked integrity and have adopted values that would not be shared by many people today. Maxwell sums up his definition of leadership as 'leadership is influence - nothing more, nothing less.' This moves beyond the position defining the leader, to looking at the ability of the leader to influence others - both those who would consider themselves followers, and those outside that circle. Indirectly, it also builds in leadership character, since without maintaining integrity and trustworthiness, the capability to influence will disappear. Bennis' definition of leadership is focused much more on the individual capability of the leader: 'Leadership is a function of knowing oneself, having a vision that is well communicated, building trust among colleagues, and taking effective action to realize one's own leadership potential.'

Visionary Leadership: 'Research on visionary leadership indicates that 50-75% of organizations are currently managed by people sorely lacking in visionary leadership competence. They are hired or promoted on technical competence, business knowledge and politics not on visionary leadership skill'. Visionary leadership is more of an action rather than preaching. It is the ability to lead from the front with a passion and with a conviction of a great vision, despite many successive setbacks. It is taking a sense of responsibility, setting as a role model commitment and

conviction. The number one reason that employees stay or leave is how they are treated by their leaders. Thus, it is hard to overstate the importance of visionary leadership and only leaders can withstand the continuous threats and challenges that the environment is posting. And hence arises the need for organizations to specifically focus on those prospective leaders by honing their visionary leadership skills.

Visionary leadership is a very fascinating subject. In fact, it is the most important aspect of human behavior. It gives a positive direction to the use of human resources and brings out the best in a man. Visionary leadership is also a natural phenomenon of a man's work life. It is related to the principle of gradation and hierarchy, which is a universal order of things created, by God and man. Whenever a few persons get together for some purposes or order of common interest, more or less automatically a 'pecking order' emerges among them. That means, more often than not one of the group members, proves more able and starts striving harder than others for the achievement of the group goal. This gives birth to the practice quite advantageous to his personal and social life. It helps him in achieving his life's goals quickly and smoothly. He, therefore, keeps refining and augmenting the theory and practice of visionary leadership.

The study of history reveals that though the practice of visionary leadership has been in vogue since the origin of man, yet the terms used for describing a leader were king, chief, head, captain etc. Similarly in the early days, the practice of visionary leadership was called kingship, chief ship, headship etc. Stogdil (1974) has reported that 'Though the word 'leader' appeared in the English language as early as the year 1300, yet the word visionary leadership did not appear in the English language until about 1800'. Moreover, functions of the king of yore included not only military leadership but also the exercise of administrative and judicial authority. In the Middle Ages, some of the kings went to the extent of exercising even religious authority. This created considerable difficulties for them. However, by the middle of the nineteenth century, when Europe produced a number of adventures, explorers, captains, the use of the term visionary leadership had become quite popular and acquired a distinct meaning. But for a long time thereafter also, the concept of visionary leadership remained person-oriented and was understood more in terms of the leader's background and his in-born personal qualities that's what could be acquired by him through training and development. Probably that is the reason why most of the definition of visionary leadership concentrates on describing the personal qualities of the leader.

The analysis presents a pivotal reflection on visionary leadership by emphasizing its perception as a largely effective strategy. However, it notes a disconnect between popular belief and empirical validation. The alarm rose against overconfidence in current practices calls for a much-needed reevaluation of leadership principles in organizational and professional settings. To generate substantial progress in understanding visionary leadership, scholars are encouraged to pursue in-depth studies utilizing diverse methodologies and incorporate varying perspectives. One strong suggestion based on the analysis is for organizations to adopt a holistic approach; combining visionary aspirations with a solid foundation of evidence-based practices. Leadership styles that are adaptable and responsive to followers' feedback can potentially lead to more favorable outcomes than strictly adhering to the notions of visionary leadership alone. In essence, the paper underscores the importance of a rigorous examination of what constitutes successful leadership and encourages a shift towards data-backed strategies.

Visionary leaders exhibit qualities such as charisma, the ability to communicate effectively, resilience, and strategic foresight. These qualities enable them to inspire and navigate challenges. Leaders can enhance their visionary skills by setting clear goals, involving their teams in the vision-making process, and remaining adaptable to changing circumstances. Visionary leadership inspires innovation, motivates employees, and provides a strategic direction, which is critical for success in today's fast-paced environments. Leadership without vision can lead to stagnation, low employee engagement, and a failure to adapt to changes, ultimately hindering organizational growth.

Characterization: Many books and research papers have been written on the subject of visionary leadership. Military strategists, behavioral scientists, captains of industry, administrators, philosopher's politicians, educationists, etc have discussed the subject of visionary leadership in various forms and from their own perspectives. They have related it to different types of human problems and complex situations. Almost all of them have seen the leader as the key man in group, imbued with super human qualities consistent in the pattern of behavior, and more or less, driving the group members towards the goal. Bernard (1926) saw visionary leadership only in the form of a leader and said, 'Any person who is more than ordinarily efficient in carrying successful psychological stimuli to others and is thus effective in conditioning collective responses may be called a leader'. Knickerbockers (1948) goes a step further in the same direction when he says. 'The functional relation in visionary leadership exists when a leader is perceived by a group as controlling means for the satisfaction of their needs'. Gerth and Mills (1953) discussed visionary leadership in terms of power relations. According to them, 'Visionary leadership most broadly conceived, is a relation between leader and led in which the leader influences more than he is influenced, because of the leader those who are led act or feel differently than they otherwise would'. In all these definitions visionary leadership, emphasis is on the leader in one form or the other. However, after the Second World War, a definite shift took place wherein the leader perceived visionary leadership more as a three-pronged interactive process between the leader, the group and the situation rather than as merely the role and activities performed.

Character and Traits: -

Building Excellence: Leaders do not command excellence, they build excellence. Excellence is 'being all one can be' within the bounds of doing what is right for organization. To reach excellence one must first be a leader of good character. One must do everything one are supposed to do. An organizations will not achieve excellence by figuring out where it wants to go, then having leaders do whatever they have to in order to get the job done, and then hope their leaders acted with good character. This type of thinking is backwards. Pursuing excellence should not be

confused with accomplishing a job or task. When one do planning, one do it by backwards planning. But one do not achieve excellence by backwards planning. Excellence starts with leaders of good and strong character who engage in the entire process of leadership. And the first process is being a person of honorable character.

Courage: To be an effective leader, one's followers must have trust in one and they need to be sold on one's vision. In any organization, a leader's actions set the pace. This behavior wins trust, loyalty, and ensures the organization's continued vitality. One of the ways to build trust is to display a good sense of character composed of beliefs, values, skills, and traits:

Beliefs are what we hold dear to us and are rooted deeply within us. They could be assumptions or convictions that one hold true regarding people, concepts, or things. They could be the beliefs about life, death, religion, what is good, what is bad, what is human nature, etc.

Values are attitudes about the worth of people, concepts, or things. For example, one might value a good car, home, friendship, personal comfort, or relatives. Values are important as they influence a person's behavior to weigh the importance of alternatives. For example, one might value friends more than privacy, while others might be the opposite.

Skills are the knowledge and abilities that a person gains throughout life. The ability to learn a new skill varies with each individual. Some skills come almost naturally, while others come only by complete devotion to study and practice.

Traits are distinguishing qualities or characteristics of a person, while character is the sum total of these traits. There are hundreds of personality traits, far too many to be discussed here. Instead, we will focus on a few that are crucial for a leader. The more of this one display as a leader, the more one's followers will believe and trust in one.

Honesty - Display sincerity, integrity, and candor in all one's actions. Deceptive behavior will not inspire trust.

Competent - one's actions should be based on reason and moral principles. Do not make decisions based on childlike emotional desires or feelings.

Forward-looking Set goals and have a vision of the future. The vision must be owned throughout the organization. Effective leaders envision what they want and how to get it. They habitually pick priorities stemming from their basic values.

Inspiring - Display confidence in that entire one do. By showing endurance in mental, physical, and spiritual stamina, one will inspire others to reach for new heights. Take charge when necessary.

Intelligent - Read, study, and seek challenging assignments.

Fair-minded - Show fair treatment to all people. Prejudice is the enemy of justice. Display empathy by being sensitive to the feelings, values, interests, and well-being of others.

Broad-minded - Seek out diversity.

Courageous - Have the perseverance to accomplish a goal, regardless of the seemingly insurmountable obstacles. Display a confident calmness when under stress.

Straightforward - Use sound judgment to make good decisions at the right time.

Imaginative - Make timely and appropriate changes in one's thinking, plans, and methods. Show creativity by thinking of new and better goals, ideas, and solutions to problems. Be innovative!

Field Marshal Montgomery said, 'Visionary leadership is the capacity and will to rally men and women to a common purpose and the character which inspires confidence'. In this definition the main emphasis is on leader's capacity and will, his behavior, in rallying 'men and women to a common purpose'. The purpose might not have been common to start with, but it devolves on the leader to ensure that it is perceived as common by the group members. In fact, the relationship of leader's effectiveness with the perception of commonality of purpose by group members becomes clearer when we consider the definition of visionary leadership given by Field Marshal Slim. According to him, 'Visionary leadership is the projection of personality. It is the combination of persuasion; compulsion and example that makes other people do what you want them to do'. Following the same refrain, General Eisenhower observed, 'Visionary leadership is the knack of getting somebody to do something you want to do because he wants to do'. As is clear, in these definitions, overriding importance has been given to the behavior of the leader. The reasons for this instance could be: - A mil leader starts functioning with his positional authority well defined for him as well as his

group members. Neither the mil leader chooses the problem to be solved or goal to be achieved, nor has he much say in the selection of his group members. These two are given aspects of his role. The mil leader is primarily held responsible for achievement of the goal.

In the light of these observations, there is a need to consider mil visionary leadership in a manner, which gives weight age to the 'given' aspects of a leader's role. At the same time, it also must bring into focus the importance of the characteristics of group members and nature of situation in the dynamic process called visionary leadership. A possible description that is more appropriate to visionary leadership in our Army would be :- 'The art of influencing directing men in such a way as to obtain their willing obedience, confidence, respect and cooperation in order to accomplish the mission'.

Visionary Leadership and Management: In organizational contexts, visionary leadership is the foundation of success, with several key roles defining an organization's effectiveness, culture, and sustainability. Leaders provide the guiding framework that allows companies and institutions to prevail over adversity, capture opportunities, and sustain competitive edge in ever more complex settings. Without good visionary leadership, organizational stagnation, low morale and, in the end, inability to accomplish strategic goals and stay current with evolving marketplaces can ensue. Relationship between management and visionary leadership is a controversial issue in the armed forces. Visionary leadership has been practiced and known since time immemorial whereas the emergence of the term management is relatively new. In fact it is a post-industrial revolution phenomenon, not only in the service but also in civil life. Management literature considers visionary leadership as an integral part of management, while some others believe that they are different and advocate pre-eminence of visionary leadership over management.

One of the significant visionary leadership roles in organizations is creating a clear vision and strategic direction that informs collective effort towards common objectives. Effective visionary leadership in organizations creates a clear vision of long-term and short-term objectives that the organization can accomplish, providing a clear roadmap with clear steps that need to be followed to be successful. Leaders give voice to this vision and define what organization members can do to attain it, providing direction and purpose that aligns the contributions of the individual with broader organizational goals. This visionary component of visionary leadership is vital in that it provides teams and organizations with purpose and direction, avoiding drift and ensuring that resources are being directed toward worthwhile priorities toward the furthering of the organization's cause. Those who advocate dichotomy between the two terms like to quote McNamara as a good manager but a bad leader; General Patton as a good leader but a bad manager. Implicit in these statements is the assumption that management deals primarily with management science (quantitative aids, material resources and logistic support systems); whereas visionary leadership emphasis's the human dimension.

In discussing visionary leadership versus management, Brig General Hoe fling (1970) writes, 'Aware of the dangers of over-simplifications, it can be said in general that management connotes the five functions of planning, organizing, directing, coordinating and controlling the resources of both men and material to accomplish a mission whereas visionary leadership stresses the influencing and directing of men to accomplish a mission'. From this statement it would appear that management connotes the 'whole' with visionary leadership as one of its parts to be mastered by all good managers as shown in figure.

Visionary Leadership and Command: Command is the legal authority over men, which the Government gives a cdr to accomplish his mission. It carries with it the responsibility of planning, organizing, training, co-ordination and controlling mil forces to accomplish assigned implied or inherent missions together with administrative responsibility for supply, health, welfare, morale, discipline and relief of personnel. In fulfilling this responsibility for the general administration of his men, it is irrespective of his personal feelings. Good visionary leadership motivates men to respond favorably to command. Command is exercised by virtue of official visionary leadership that causes the other to carry out the desire of the leader to achieve the goal willingly and enthusiastically. The ability of to lead is founded not on legal authority but on ability to develop and exploit the latent capabilities of men. Visionary leadership can be exercised by anyone at any time irrespective of the framework of command. In any group of men some individual will emerge as a leader, even though, there may not be any command or organisation structure to which the men belong. In general, however, we consider mil visionary leadership as being exercised within the framework of the structure of command. Therefore in one sense, mil visionary leadership is the proper exercise of command.

Visionary Leadership and Art: Some academicians have been claiming that visionary leadership is a science. Mil experts everywhere continue to consider visionary leadership more as an art and as such, can be mastered the same way as say other arts. The art of mil visionary leadership according to them can be learned, developed and practiced, in varying degree by any one properly motivated and possessing the physical and mental ability and the moral integrity expected of an officer. In the ultimate sense mil visionary leadership is not inherent; it is based on understanding and application of sound visionary leadership techniques.

Approaches: Visionary leadership has been studied from different views and for various purposes. It has been studied for determining what qualities of head and heart make an effective leader. It has been studied for finding out the relative importance of the situation and characteristics of the group members in the successful functioning of a leader. It has also been studied as a dynamic phenomenon, giving more importance to the interaction between the leader, the group and the situation than to any single factor. Studies have also been conducted to determine what can be done by the leader when either the situation is too complex and dangerous or when the group members are relatively

immature and inexperienced; in fact, these studies have tried to link visionary leadership with the art of persuasion and other methods of eliciting compliance and co-operation from group goal. So far the following approaches to the understanding of visionary leadership have been progressively advanced by behavioral scientists: -

- Traits Approach.
- Behavioral Approach.
- Situational Approach.
- Contingency or Functional Approach.

Fostering Innovation and Organizational Growth: Leaders are the drivers of innovation and change, inspiring their teams to break rules and think outside the box in ways that fuel organizational growth and evolution. Great leaders know that ‘innovation distinguishes between a leader and a follower,’ as Steve Jobs described, and they take charge of leading teams on what will create the most impact. By creating cultures that adopt fresh patterns of thought and action, leaders render their organizations competitive and relevant in high-speed changing markets. Their ability for adaptation and innovation makes sure that organizations thrive in competitive environments rather than becoming archaic and stagnant. Innovative leaders foster cultures in which risk-taking is a considered undertaking and failure is an opportunity to learn, ultimately leading to ongoing improvement and organizational resilience.

Cultivating Positive Workplace Culture: Visionary leadership has a direct influence on organizational climate by affecting cultural and ethical standards that determine the workplace environment. Leaders who foster respect, integrity, and fairness establish spaces where employees are safe, respected, and encouraged to bring their best efforts to work. Such a positive effect goes beyond immediate productivity to create employee commitment to the company mission, resulting in increased retention and organizational stability in the long term. Inclusive and supportive work culture promotes diversity of thought, and this results in innovative solutions and higher job satisfaction for team members. Modeling desired values and behaviours, leaders influence not just what work is accomplished but how it is accomplished, setting standards that can drive the overall organization’s performance and reputation to a higher level.

Coordinating Collective Effort: Visionary leadership is important in facilitating individual talent and effort towards the joint achievement of organizational goals. There may be many talented professionals in a company with various talents and abilities, but by only effective visionary leadership practices, these individual efforts can be synchronized towards the achievement of shared organizational goals. Leaders are connectors who unite diverse perspectives, capabilities, and resources, producing synergy that amplifies the effect of solo contributions manifold. Through motivating and encouraging teams and synchronizing individual actions for the furtherance of shared goals, leaders cause their businesses to attain excellence that is not possible by dispersed individual efforts. This coordination role becomes more vital as organizations become more complex and confronts issues that need to be solved multidisciplinary and through joint problem-solving.

Impact: Visionary leadership goes far beyond organizational frontiers to define the very nature of societies, from social development to economic prosperity and cultural growth. Leaders working at societal levels are change agents who tackle structural problems, champion causes, and steer communities through times of transformation and crisis. Their decisions and actions have broad-based impacts that affect vast numbers and can reverberate over many generations, demonstrating the great societal significance of effective visionary leadership.

Driving Social Progress and Change: Great leaders champion issues that propel advances in society to address key challenges in areas such as equality, education, health, and the environment. People such as Nelson Mandela and Malala Yousafzai have shown us that visionary leadership has the power to drive revolutionary change in society, making life better for large populations. Social cause leaders and problem solvers make a difference, championing systemic issues of inequality and sustainability through their influence in mobilizing a collective response for effective reform. By pushing against existing norms and advocating on behalf of marginalized groups, society's leaders have a duty to advance human rights, reduce inequalities, and create more equitable social structures better suited for diverse populations. Their ability to forge effective visions for better futures and muster support for those aspirations makes them a necessity as social change agents.

In trade, industry and business life, leaders innovate, provide employment, and cause economic stability to benefit wider society. Businessmen such as Jeff Bezos or Elon Musk have shown how visionary visionary leadership can change whole business sectors while driving economic growth that benefits many. Leaders create innovation and entrepreneurship, launching new products, services, and business models to market that fill unmet needs and deliver value to consumers and communities alike. Their capacity to organize capital, talent, and resources into productive enterprise creates jobs, drives economic activity, and improves living standards throughout society. Successful economic visionary leadership also encourages ethical business practices that reconcile profit incentives with social and environmental concerns to develop sustainable prosperity for various stakeholders.

Managing Crises and Navigating Uncertainty

In times of uncertainty—pandemics, wars, natural catastrophes, or economic collapse—leaders are vital in keeping things in check, giving direction, and promising hope to the impacted people. Winston Churchill's visionary leadership during World War II is a classic case of staying power under duress, illustrating how capable leaders can lead societies through survival threats and come out empowered. During times of

crisis, leaders have to make tough choices with incomplete information, speak clearly and truthfully about problems, and instill collective confidence that allows for coordinated response. Leaders are also crucial in the process of rebuilding after crises, assisting communities in healing, adjusting, and becoming more resilient to future threats. Their capacity to stay calm centered, and resolute under pressure makes leaders indispensable stabilizing influences during society's most trying times.

Leaders are the navigators who guide individuals past challenges, beyond obstacles, and resolve conflicts that otherwise would slow things down. They provide direction to ambiguous situations so that members of the team understand what to do first and make choices that fit into the greater picture. Good leaders resolve internal conflicts and provide equitable solutions, employing their authority to build trust and encourage healthy conflict resolution that maintains relationships while fixing the root of the issue. Leaders also understand when members require direction or help and when they learn better with more autonomy, modifying their style to suit individual needs and situations. By providing direction in a timely manner without over controlling, leaders enable people to build their own problem-solving skills while preventing them from getting bogged down or losing sight of organizational objectives.

Shaping Cultural Values and Norms

Leaders from cultural values and norms, advocating for inclusiveness, ethics, and social responsibility in ways that foster shared identity and cohesion among communities. By their words and deeds, great leaders set examples of behaviors and approaches that others follow, creating standards that come to be internalized in cultural practices over time. Leaders promote ethical values and principles that deepen social relationships, promote cooperation, and increase collective welfare among various segments of society. By embracing diversity, creating dialogue across differences, and cultivating mutual respect, leaders create more inclusive societies that value differences in perspectives and experiences. Their cultural impact spills over into spreading such values as integrity, empathy, and civic involvement that solidify the social conscience of society and improve shared strength in the context of adversity.

Developing Future Leaders

One of the most critical roles of visionary leadership is developing new generations of leaders who will ultimately lead organizations and societies into the future. Successful leaders focus on mentorship and knowledge transfer, providing opportunities for up-and-coming leaders to learn from seasoned role models who can speed up their development and prevent them from making the same mistakes. They spot and develop visionary leadership potential in others, offering stretch assignments, feedback, and guidance that facilitates promising individuals into visionary leadership roles. Leaders also exhibit behaviors and strategies that others can see and adopt into their own visionary leadership styles, creating wave effects of positive influence that spread far beyond the leader's immediate interactions. This visionary leadership development multiplication effect guarantees continuity of effective visionary leadership and innovation from generation to generation, and visionary leadership development is one of the most lasting contributions of visionary leadership.

Recommended Approach

Visionary leadership approach has become a key determinant of organizational performance, job satisfaction, and the general workplace environment. This review of the literature offers a comprehensive discussion of visionary leadership approach through an examination of its conceptual basis, theoretical underpinnings, effects on organizational outcomes, expression across varied visionary leadership styles, and contextual determinants. Through integrating current research, this review seeks to introduce the visionary leadership approach as a pivotal element in the creation of effective visionary leadership and provide insight into visionary leadership development and organizational approaches.

Visionary leadership approaches have evolved greatly in research over the years, as the changing organizational environments and greater knowledge about human behavior have been realized. The initial visionary leadership theories, including the Great Man Theory, were focused on innate traits as opposed to approaches, whereby leaders were born, not created. This perception positioned visionary leadership as a function of inherent characteristics as compared to learnable approaches and behaviors. A tremendous change came with the advent of Behavioral Theory, which suggested that 'a person's environment is responsible for their visionary leadership qualities' and that 'effective visionary leadership results from different learned skills'. According to this theory, 'natural attributes do not contribute to a leader's success because it is the behavior that drives visionary leadership qualities,' an important shift towards perceiving visionary leadership as adjustable and cultivatable. This shift created space for exploring the approaches underlying effective visionary leadership behavior.

The development of Contingency and Situational Theories gave additional visionary leadership approach insight in the sense that these theories suggested that effectiveness is a situational variable function. Contingency Theory 'hypothesizes that there is no single way or style of leading an organization. External and internal factors play a crucial part in determining the best way to lead an organization to success' based on research. This situational school emphasized the importance of situational approaches to visionary leadership effectiveness rather than fixed ones.

Contemporary models of visionary leadership have placed greater significance on attitudinal aspects. Transformational Visionary leadership, for instance, focuses on ‘instilling in the workers a vision and encouraging them to make it come true’, requesting approaches of inspiration, optimism, and futurism. Servant Visionary leadership focuses on ‘followers’ needs, helping them develop and thrive’, requiring approaches of humbleness, compassion, and service spirit. These models directly recognize approaches as the pillars of successful visionary leadership. Theory evolution in visionary leadership indicates increasing understanding that effective visionary leadership does not solely arise from skills or behaviors but also depends on suitable approaches compatible with organizational settings and the needs of followers. This awareness has changed the methods of visionary leadership development from focusing mostly on skills learning to examine deeper attitudinal bases which determine how leaders respond to situations, make decisions, and interact with others.

Conceptualizing Visionary Leadership Approach

Visionary leadership approach encompasses the state of mind, mindset, and emotional outlook with which leaders perform their jobs and relate to others. In the view of Clatterbaugh (2021), ‘being a successful leader depends on your approach. A leader’s approach will affect an organization in all ways’. Visionary leadership approach is not a fixed personality trait but a dynamic notion that influences the way leaders confront challenges, relate to subordinates, and deal with decision-making processes. Visionary leadership approach has been ‘a collective term for qualities a good leader should have,’ for example, ‘open- mindedness, a coherent value system, authenticity, positive charisma, enthusiasm, an appreciative approach, presence, a healthy self-confidence, doer qualities, a commitment to performance, decisiveness, drive and commitment, a service approach, commitment, good communication skills and ability to take criticism.’ This comprehensive definition encapsulates the multifaceted phenomenon of visionary leadership approach in cognitive, emotional, and behavioral terms. John Maxwell’s frequent-quoted description of visionary leadership as ‘influence, nothing more and nothing less’ underscores how approach determines if a leader has the power to influence others. Maxwell’s model of the ‘Speed of Influence’ puts approach on the same plane as character and behaviors as key determiners of visionary leadership effectiveness. According to this perspective, a leader’s approach is the foundation for the creation of vision, the creation of relationships, and action.

Conjectural Brass Tracks

There are several theoretical explanations of how and what influences the emergence of visionary leadership dispositions as well as what influences organizational performance. Implicit visionary leadership theory, rooted in cognitive psychology, ‘emphasizes employees’ expectations and beliefs about the abilities leaders should possess’. Such a perspective assumes that visionary leadership effectiveness is partially in followers’ heads since they develop mental schemas of what good visionary leadership is. Leaders whose dispositions fit these schemas are rated more effective. Social exchange theory offers another perspective on how visionary leadership approaches affect organizational life. Visionary leadership, in this theory, generates reciprocal relationships where concerned leader approaches induce a sense of indebtedness among followers and encourage them to respond with good work approaches and behavior. Positive leader approaches of respect, appreciation, and fairness are likely to elicit responses from employees in terms of higher commitment, job satisfaction, and job performance. The conservation of resource theory suggests that visionary leadership tendencies influence employee behaviors in two processes: workload perception management and organizational self-esteem management. Leaders who support positive and constructive tendencies enable employees to conserve psychological resources, mitigate burnout, and build resilience in adverse situations.

Case Study on Clive Lloyd’s Quest for an Elite Team: The case study discusses pivotal request made by Clive Lloyd for his team to be characterized as ‘fastest and deadliest’. This statement underscores his ambition to create a cricket team that embodies not only speed but an aggressive and effective play style that can dominate opponents. The context involves Lloyd’s leadership approach and how he envisioned his team’s identity and capabilities during a significant era in cricket. This request can be seen as part of a larger narrative regarding evolution of cricket, team strategies and impact of leadership on performance. Furthermore, case study highlights historical significance of Lloyd’s tenure as a Captain and his influence on development of modern West Indian cricket team, illustrating how his vision paved way for future successes and set standards in the sport. Clive Lloyd’s assertion focuses on need for attributes such as pace in bowling and sharpness in fielding, which can be crucial for winning matches. He aimed to instill a sense of fear and respect in opponents by emphasizing excellence in these areas. The case study provides insights into how Lloyd’s demands for speed and effectiveness changed dynamics of how teams prepared and strategized against West Indies. An exploration of various players during this period further demonstrates how Lloyd’s vision was realized, leading to unforgettable performances that captivated cricket fans worldwide. Overall, Clive Lloyd’s leadership style and his mantra to cultivate a fastest and deadliest team reflective of skill, aggressiveness, and cunning tactics have left an indelible mark on cricket history. This focus not only reshaped his team’s tactical outlook but influenced standards to which other teams aspired, establishing benchmarks for future generations in the sport.

Clive Lloyd sought players that embodied speed, indicating the importance of quickness in both bowling and fielding roles. The term ‘deadliest’ underscores necessity for a formidable and aggressive approach towards opponents in cricket. Lloyd’s request reflects a transformative period in the West Indies cricket history, showcasing his pioneering vision. The significance of Lloyd’s leadership is crucial to understanding how effective team management shapes performance outcomes. Lloyd’s philosophy influenced how teams structured their training and game plans, enhancing competitiveness in cricket. The ‘fastest’ and ‘deadliest’ criteria became a legacy that modern teams still aspire to meet, showcasing a lasting influence on the sport. The attributes sought by Lloyd represent broader cultural attitudes towards sportsmanship and competition in cricket.

Clive Lloyd's request was not just about achieving immediate success; it was about redefining how West Indies cricket team approached games tactically. Historically, his focus on speed and aggression correlates with greater narrative of cricket during 1970s and 1980s, when teams began to embrace an attacking style of play. By asking for a 'deadliest' team, Lloyd recognized the psychological advantage of intimidating opponents. This tactical mindset invites a deeper understanding of sports psychology and how expectation and fear influence game play and match outcomes. From a coaching perspective, Lloyd's directive can serve as a case study in effective team management and goal setting, highlighting how leaders must instill confidence and ambition within their teams to rise to competitive challenges. In the current context of cricket, where fast bowling and high-density fielding are celebrated, Lloyd's vision remains relevant, demonstrating how leadership foresight can yield long-term benefits and sustained excellence in a sport.

Clive Lloyd's ambition to mold a cricket team that was both fastest and deadliest is a definitive cornerstone that shaped the competitive landscape of cricket during his era. By instilling a mentality of aggression and speed, Lloyd not only carved an identity for the West Indies team but also presented a blueprint that aspiring cricketers and coaches continue to value. His focus on these key attributes encouraged players to push their physical limits and refined their skills, fostering a culture of excellence. Moreover, implementation of these ideas required systematic changes in training and strategy, influencing how players prepared for matches and how they would adapt to different opponents over time. Lloyd's definition of success through relentless pursuit of improvement serves as a foundational concept, challenging teams in all sports to constantly evolve. The legacies of such ambitious visions extend into contemporary cricket, where fast bowling remains an essential weapon. The highs and lows faced in attempts to achieve this vision also provide valuable lessons in resilience and adaptation in competitive sports. Overall, the insistence on being both fast and deadly represents more than just physical traits; it embodies a mindset of striving for greatness and a refusal to settle for mediocrity, which encourages a continual pursuit of personal and collective excellence.

In conclusion, Clive Lloyd's quest for a cricket team characterized as the fastest and deadliest illustrates a powerful vision that reshaped not only individual players but also the trajectory of West Indian cricket as a whole. His leadership and relentless pursuit of excellence became defining aspects of a revered legacy that continues to inspire modern cricket teams. Bringing speed and aggressiveness to the forefront of team strategy, Lloyd has set a standard that transcends cricket and applies to broader competitive domains. The emphasis on such attributes fosters continuous evolution, pushing players to adapt, improve, and aim for unprecedented heights within their respective sports. This narrative of ambition, evolution, and the pursuit of excellence echoes through the annals of sporting history, reminding us of the profound influence that leadership can exert on a team's success in achieving greatness.

Key Contributions: Considerable research has enhanced the body of knowledge related to visionary leadership approaches and their consequences for organizational outcomes. Research has shown a number of critical approaches of effective leaders such as 'self-confidence, effective communication and management skills, innovative and creative thinking, resilience, risk willingness, open towards change, cool headed and responsiveness during crises'. These approaches provide the underpinnings of visionary leadership success in different settings. Research by Mumford, Zaccaro, Harding, Jacobs, and Fleishman has proposed a skills-based model of visionary leadership that incorporates attitudinal elements. Their model suggests that 'visionary leadership depends on an interactive package of complex skills' including 'complex problem-solving skills, solution construction skills, and social judgment skills'. This model recognizes the way visionary leadership approaches influence problem-solving styles and interactions with others, emphasizing the interaction among approaches, skills, and behaviours.

A comparative study of visionary leadership theories from the IOSR Journal provides insights into how various visionary leadership models place different attitudinal dimensions in the foreground. For example, 'Transformational Visionary leadership is remarkable for its power to change and innovate,' which calls for inspiration and vision approaches, whereas 'Servant Visionary leadership, aims at building a supportive and ethical organizational culture,' which calls for empathy and service approaches. This research demonstrates how different organizational contexts may require different attitudinal approaches. Emotional intelligence research has played an important role in understanding visionary leadership approaches. It has been found that emotionally intelligent leaders, or those who are capable of understanding and regulating both their own and other's emotions, are more effective across situations. This emotional awareness influences visionary leadership approaches such as empathy, self-regulation, and social attunement, which further affect leader behaviours and followers' reactions. Longitudinal studies have examined how visionary leadership approaches develop over time and impact organizational outcomes. This study indicates that although certain attitudinal foundations can be laid down early in life, approaches are something that can be developed in response to experience, reflection, and effortful practice. These outcomes have significant implications for visionary leadership development, indicating that suitable approaches can be developed and not merely choose.

Relation between Visionary leadership Approaches and Behaviours

Approach and behaviour interaction in visionary leadership is both bidirectional and multifaceted. According to the study, 'A leader's approach can influence their behaviour, and their behaviour can have an effect on their team's approach.' This recursive effect emphasizes the value of visionary leadership self-perception with regard to approaches and their organizational dynamics influence. Studies have proven that 'a positive approach can contribute to positive behaviours, like collaboration, creativity, and innovation. Conversely, a negative approach can contribute to negative behaviour, like conflict, resistance, and turnover'. This relationship demonstrates how approaches serve as precursors to behaviours that ultimately shape organizational culture and outcomes, creating either virtuous or vicious cycles within organizations.

Studies have identified specific approaches that drive effective visionary leadership behaviours. These are integrity, trust, risk-taking willingness, courage, lifelong learning, resilience, emotional intelligence, and positive thinking. These approaches are exhibited through behaviours that motivate and inspire teams to learn, grow, and adapt, creating productive and positive working environments that improve organizational performance. Alignment of approach and behaviour directly impacts visionary leadership credibility. When a leader's declared approaches and conduct are aligned, they become credible and influential. However, if they are mismatched, as in declaring to be transparent yet hiding things, credibility is eroded. This attitudinal and behavioural alignment is a key dimension of authentic visionary leadership that creates trust and engagement. Visionary leadership approaches and behaviours both emerge through reflective practice and feedback. Studies indicate that leaders who solicit feedback are more effective and have improved team development. This openness to feedback enables leaders to hone both their attitudinal foundations and behavioural expressions, generating a cycle of continuous improvement that improves visionary leadership effectiveness over time.

Impact

Organizational performance and team dynamics are deeply affected by visionary leadership approach. Studies suggest that the saying 'Approach reflects visionary leadership' suggests a leader's approach decides the approach of the whole team. A leader's approach mirrors their values, beliefs, and behaviour—all of which influence their team's conduct.' This domino effect shows how visionary leadership ideas flow down companies and affect performance and culture at all levels. Case studies show this link between organizational results and approach of visionary leadership. Google employees morale shot up substantially, promoting several breakthroughs and high job satisfaction, thanks to the visionary leadership styles of Sergey Brin and Larry Page and their support for an inventive and creative environment. Conversely, the Enron scandal demonstrates how negative visionary leadership approaches led to 'a toxic culture and low employee morale,' ultimately contributing to organizational failure. Research has established that servant and paternalistic visionary leadership styles 'will not only positively influence employee's approach (job satisfaction and commitment), but also help to minimize negative perceptions (perceptions of organizational politics).' This demonstrates how visionary leadership approaches directly impact employee approaches and perceptions, creating workplace environments that either enhance or diminish engagement and performance. Visionary leadership approaches particularly impact organizational resilience during challenging times. Leaders who demonstrate approaches of perseverance, optimism, and adaptability help teams navigate uncertainty and overcome obstacles. As research notes, 'Resilient leaders inspire others to persevere and overcome obstacles, fostering a culture of determination and grit.' This resilience creates organizational capacity to withstand and recover from setbacks. The effect of visionary leadership approaches transcends immediate organizational dynamics to affect organizational reputation and stakeholder relationships. Leaders who portray approaches of integrity, transparency, and social responsibility reinforce organizational legitimacy and customer, investor, and community trust. Such external perceptions have far-reaching effects on organizational sustainability and competitiveness.

Present Developments

Current studies on visionary leadership approaches are more and more stressing adaptability, inclusivity, and moral issues. Research have pinpointed developing approach trends necessary for effective visionary leadership: cultural intelligence, innovation orientation, responsibility, and empathy. These approaches mirror the changing nature of visionary leadership in more analytical and diverse organizational settings. Increasing focus on genuineness in visionary leadership has become a notable direction of research. Visionary leadership theory studies have found that authentic visionary leadership is advancing the idea that leaders need to be self-aware, truthful, and open. This focus on honesty shows a movement from prescriptive visionary leadership styles toward more real and individualized ones that develop credibility and trust. Another significant line of inquiry is the influence of digital transformation on visionary leadership approaches. Research shows that 'Embracing Digital Transformation' has developed a critical visionary leadership activity demanding inventiveness and flexibility. This tendency shows the increasing significance of technological literacy and adaptability in effective visionary leadership across businesses going through digital transformation. Research is increasingly looking at how visionary leadership approaches and inclusive workplace cultures relate. Managers who show approaches of openness, cultural sensitivity, and fair treatment establish climates appreciative of diversity and using it for company advantage. As businesses grow more globally linked and demographically varied, these inclusive approaches have become ever more relevant. Another major development is the analysis of visionary leadership approaches in hybrid and remote work setups. The move toward distributed work settings has magnified the need of approaches like trust, openness of communication, and results orientation over process control. Studies show that managers who adjust their approaches towards virtual environments are more effective in keeping team performance and cohesiveness in spite of geographical separation.

Literature Scan

As a concept (visionary) leadership has been the most widely debated, articulated, and written about in the field of management and it still continues to attract the attention of the thinkers all over the world. Many people today are seeking to understand -- and many people are writing about -- the concept and practices of (visionary) leadership and its styles. The concept of (visionary) leadership is relevant to any aspect of ensuring effectiveness in organizations and in managing change.

House (2004) defines '(visionary) leadership' as 'the ability of an individual to influence, motivate, and enable others to contribute towards the effectiveness and success of the organizations of which they are members'. Bogardus (1934) says, 'Leader is a person who exerts special influences over a number of people.' Cattell (1953) defines, 'The leader is the person who creates the most effective change in group performance.' Tead (1935) '(visionary) leadership is the activity of influencing people to cooperate towards some goal which they came to find as desirable.' Pigors (1941) states '(visionary) leadership is a process of mutual stimulation of leader-follower behavior as a result of social intervention.' According to Cowley (1928) the study of (visionary) leadership must be through the study of traits. (visionary) leadership, obviously, was not a simple trait but rather a complex of many traits uniquely combined.

It is believed by many that individually, (visionary) leadership style is motivated by myriad variables. These include organizational mission, personal career goals, cultural issues and a host of others. The choice of (visionary) leadership styles, however, is often developed over many years as conditions of employment, long term organizational goals and business culture change. It is often over as a Winston States 'leaders have different motives at work at different times'.

The following table defines the various (visionary) leadership Styles

SI No	(Visionary) Leadership Style	Description
01	Autocratic (visionary) leadership	A leader takes decision without taking views of subordinates. Leaders take decision without any sort of consultation.
02	Democratic (visionary) leadership	(Visionary) leadership involves subordinates in decision making.
03	Laissez- Faire	Leaders let their subordinates to make decisions and minimize their involvement in decision making.
04	Distributed (visionary) leadership	In this kind of (visionary) leadership organization requires both leaders and subordinates to follow one direction and goal.
05	Charismatic (visionary) leadership	In this style of (visionary) leadership, energetic leader motivates his or her subordinates to drive them forward. This kind of leader normally believes on him rather than their subordinates.
06	Transformational (visionary) leadership	Transformational (visionary) leadership inspires followers to exceed their own self-interest for the good of organization. This kind of (visionary) leadership increases the confidence and motivation of followers to obtain performance beyond expectations.
07	Transactional (visionary) leadership	Transactional (visionary) leadership based on a series of exchanges between leader and followers. Transactional leaders identify their follower's their role for the job and explains then what must need to be done to obtain designated outcomes.
08	Contingent Reward (visionary) leadership	In this style of (visionary) leadership, a manager makes his own work standard and then shares is with his subordinates and then informs them about the reward they will receive if their performance is favourable.
09	Management-by-Exception (visionary) leadership	In this type of (visionary) leadership the focus of manager is to identify errors and implement disciplinary action.
10	Servant (visionary) leadership	Servant (visionary) leadership is an approach to (visionary) leadership development which encourages leaders to serve people while staying focused on achieving the objectives and goals of the organization.
11	Lean leaders	Lean leaders are core components for creating a lean innovation culture in an organization. Lean leaders understand and realize that waste elimination and enhancing value for the end customers are the basic objectives of implementing lean that will ultimately contribute to better financial and non-financial performance of an organization.
12	Managerial Leader	Managerial leader acts as both a manager and a leader depending upon the situation he is in .
13	Charismatic (visionary) leadership	A charismatic leader is any person who brings about certain outcomes to an unusually high degree.
14	Participative (visionary) leadership	Participative leaders share decision making with group members. Participative (visionary) leadership encompasses so many behaviors.

15	Top down to Lateral (visionary) leadership	The role of such a leader is to orchestrate new patterns of relationship and interaction that result in a customer-centric organization and a high level of speed and innovation.
16	Visionary Leader	The visionary leader moves people towards a shared vision, telling them where to go but not how to get there - thus motivating them to struggle forward.
17	Pace-setting Leader	The pace-setting leader builds challenge and exciting goals for people, expecting excellence and often exemplifying it themselves
18	Coaching Leader	The coaching leader connects wants to organizational goals, holding long conversations that reach beyond the workplace, helping people find strengths and weaknesses and tying these to career aspirations and actions.
19	Mushroom Manager Style	'Mushroom manager' plants you knee-deep or worse in the smelly stuff and keeps you in the dark.
20	Seagull Management Style	'Seagull management' is a humorous term that is used to describe a style of management whereby the person 'flies in, poops on you and then flies away again'.

The perspective of approach theory is used in this study to investigate how looking at visionary leadership perceptions improves our knowledge of leader dynamics. Starting from an enriched angle on how visionary leadership perceptions affect job-related results, it examines the material, organization, and purpose of approaches. This paper provides a fresh and thought-provoking way of looking at visionary leadership using the approach theory. The author not only describes visionary leadership from the perspective of leaders but also provides information about how followers view visionary leadership. This gives us a richer and more dynamic way to think about visionary leadership and the paper makes several important contributions to both research and practice. From the angle of approach theory, this research investigates how visionary leadership perceptions might enhance our knowledge of the interplay between leaders and followers. Rather than concentrating only on the deeds or qualities of leaders, it changes focus to followers' perception and reaction to visionary leadership. The paper presents a new and more subtle approach to view visionary leadership by considering the content (what perceptions are based on), structure (how perceptions are arranged), and function (why they exist and how they affect behavior) of approaches toward visionary leadership. This strategy is particularly fascinating because of the way it highlights the emotional, cognitive, and behavioral facets of followers' beliefs. Visionary leadership is more than the deeds of leaders; it is also the way in which their actions are understood and felt by their subordinates. This view goes to show the need of recognizing the relational aspect of visionary leadership, therefore moving beyond conventional leader-centric frameworks.

Viewing visionary leadership from two angles, the paper considers visionary leadership behaviors from the viewpoint of the leader and at the same time investigates how followers develop their opinions of those behaviors. A richer, more dynamic view of visionary leadership as an interactive process rather than a one-way phenomenon results from this dual focus. Including followers in the analysis challenges accepted notions of visionary leadership and offers fresh ideas on its influence. This research has practical consequences as well as it provides fresh information for scientists. It implies that successful visionary leadership requires knowledge of how followers view and react to the attempts, not only training in techniques or capabilities. This implies for companies that visionary leadership development initiatives should aim less on only enhancing the capabilities of leaders than on assisting them in forming closer relationships with their teams. In general, this paper offers an original angle on visionary leadership that is both provocative of thought and pertinent to practical problems.

Review of the Paper 'A Modern Visionary leadership Theory Based on approach Behavior Framework' by P.S. Aithal and Shubhrajyotsna Aithal (2019) introduces the Approach – Behavior (AB) theory of visionary leadership which explains visionary leadership effectiveness by linking leaders' approach to their behaviors and decision-making processes. The authors argue that fate of organizations depend heavily on the ability of leaders to make the correct decisions which are influenced by feelings, emotions, beliefs and their surrounding environment. An important field of research in organizational behavior, visionary leadership has long been one where scholars and experts work to grasp the traits, actions, and systems that enable leaders to be effective. Although traditional visionary leadership theories often center on qualities, abilities, or situational variables affecting visionary leadership effectiveness, these methods, at times, ignore the deep psychological processes influencing visionary leadership behavior. Aithal and Aithal suggest in their work, A Modern Visionary leadership Theory Based on Approach-Behavior (AB) Framework, a new theory that closes this distance by stressing the importance of approaches in determining visionary leadership behaviors.

The authors contend that the base for a leader's behavior and decision-making processes is their perspective, defined as a mental condition shaped by beliefs, emotions, judgments, and surroundings. Positive approaches, they claim, produce creative behaviors and ideal decision-making, whereas negative approaches can cause negative consequences for companies and executives as well. This view changes the emphasis from outside forces to internal mental processes, providing a new way through which visionary leadership might be viewed and developed.

The paper also examines how leaders' approaches are ultimately affected by environmental conditions such as organizational culture, family background, and external competition, which in turn influences their behavior and decision-making abilities. By incorporating psychological concepts with organizational dynamics, the authors offer a comprehensive framework for grasping visionary leadership effectiveness. The main contributions of the paper, its strengths and weaknesses, and its real-world applications for organizational visionary leadership development are examined in this review.

Key Ideas and Contributions

Development of AB Theory: This paper believes that visionary leadership behavior is a direct outcome of the leader's approach. Approaches are explained as mental states influenced by feelings, emotions, beliefs and environmental factors.

• **Integration of Psychological and Environmental Factors:** The AB theory identifies key constructs that influence visionary leadership approaches-

- **Feelings:** Internal states derived from emotions
- **Emotions:** External manifestations tied to beliefs about situations or events
- **Beliefs:** Shaped by past and present environments

Environment: Includes factors such as family culture, organizational atmosphere, and external competition.

Focus on Decision Making: The theory throws light on decision-making as a critical function visionary leadership behavior. Leaders with positive approaches are better at analyzing solutions, predicting future outcomes and implementing optimal solution.

Qualitative Analysis Using ABCD Framework: The authors use the ABCD (Advantages, Benefits, Constraints and Disadvantages) analysis framework to evaluate practical implications of the AB theory of visionary leadership development. This approach helps us understand how organizations foster positive environments to cultivate effective leaders.

Strengths

The AB theory integrates psychological concepts with organizational dynamics which gives us a holistic view of visionary leadership behavior. The author identified controllable factors like the environment which provides practical recommendations for improving visionary leadership traits and qualities. This paper challenges conventional views that leaders are born rather than made. The author suggests that approaches and behaviors can be shaped through supportive environments. While the author identifies the role of emotions in visionary leadership approaches, these intangible factors may be difficult to measure or quantify correctly. Although this paper talks about environmental influences extensively, it doesn't take into account broader sociocultural or economic contexts that may impact visionary leadership behavior.

In this paper, Approach-Behavior (AB) theory offers a insightful and inventive contribution to visionary leadership research by presenting a new view of the way organizational consequences depend on psychological constructs. This theory goes against the traditional idea that visionary leadership is mostly based on inborn qualities or stable traits. By emphasizing the crucial function of approaches—those deeply ingrained but malleable elements formed by a combination of emotions, beliefs, environmental conditions, and feelings—it shows the dynamic and changing character of visionary leadership rather. AB theory shines light on how executives interact and make decisions inside organizational environments by stressing the link between approaches and actions. It implies that visionary leadership effectiveness is much more dependent on the approaches leaders foster and show through their behavior than on natural ability alone. This change in paradigm urges companies to rethink their visionary leadership development strategy, shifting from static evaluations of potential to promoting flexible abilities and approaches that correspond with corporate objectives. Though the framework is promising, it is crucial to realize that more empirical studies are needed to support its assertions and perfect its real-world application. Still, the ideas of this theory are of great worth for companies working to foster good leaders. Knowing how thoughts influence actions helps businesses to create focused interventions meant to promote visionary leadership traits relevant to their circumstances and difficulties. Basically, the AB hypothesis creates fresh directions for practically and psychologically informed exploration and development of visionary leadership.

Visionary Leadership Models

Among the oldest visionary leadership ideas is the Trait Visionary leadership Model, which goes back to the Great Man Theory of the nineteenth century. Originally, this theory rested on the idea that visionary leadership quality results from the inborn characteristics of some people and on the presumption that leaders are born rather than made. In the early research of visionary leadership, scholars, including Thomas Carlyle and Francis Galton, maintained that great leaders were exceptional persons with a gift for visionary leadership. Identifying particular qualities that were often found in ineffective leaders over time helped researchers to polish the theory. Of these, sociability, integrity, determination, self-confidence, and intelligence were seen as crucial. The Trait Visionary leadership Model's chief advantage is its apparent structure for spotting people with visionary leadership potential. Based on their personality traits, trait appraisal tools help companies and institutions to find and cultivate future leaders. Studies have also shown that some qualities, emotional intelligence and charisma, for example, can help a leader to motivate and engage followers. The model does have restrictions, nonetheless. It does not consider situational factors, so having the correct

characteristics alone does not ensure good visionary leadership. Moreover, many of the first trait studies had a bias toward male leaders. Therefore, they are less relevant in modern and different visionary leadership settings.

Nevertheless, trait visionary leadership remains a powerful theory apart from these limitations. Winston Churchill is a famous instance of a leader who fits this profile, World War II brought out his resiliency, strategic thinking, and intelligence, all of which aided him in inspiring the British people and guiding them through a crisis. Likable, Elon Musk also displays many of the core qualities—vision, daring, and perseverance—that have propelled him to be a transformational leader in the technology and space sectors.

Behavioural Visionary Leadership Model

Trait theory was challenged in the mid-20th century by the Behavioural Visionary leadership Model. The Behavioural approach concentrates on what a leader does rather than on who a leader is, as in trait theory. At their core, the thesis is that visionary leadership is not rooted in inborn qualities but rather in observable actions that may be learned and honed. Kurt Lewin carried out an early study of this approach in 1939 and discovered three fundamental visionary leadership styles: autocratic, democratic, and laissez-faire. Later in research done at the University of Michigan and Ohio State University, this approach was more clearly defined to differentiate between people-oriented visionary leadership (centered on teamwork, cooperation, and employee well-being) and task-oriented visionary leadership (centered on productivity, organization, and efficiency). The behavioural visionary leadership model presents one of the most significant benefits: it shows that visionary leadership can be learned and cultivated by means of experience as well as training. Whereas trait theory presumes that visionary leadership is a natural attribute, the behavioural approach enables people to enhance their visionary leadership abilities by learning successful actions. Furthermore, the model has found widespread application in management training programs, thereby helping companies to create leaders having the ability to adjust to many different situations. Still, even this idea has limits. It tends to oversimplify visionary leadership, as it does not consider external factors such as organizational culture, industry trends, or team dynamics. Furthermore, leaders might find it hard to harmonize task- and people-focused approaches since different circumstances would call for a combination of visionary leadership styles. One leader who effectively employed the behavioural model is Steve Jobs. Highly task-oriented, Jobs set very high standards for his team and made for Apple's items matched his vision of perfection. He also guided his staff to be creative and motivated by a captivating vision, therefore he had qualities that were people-driven. His approach to management changed with time, proving that actions may be modified to meet various circumstances and corporate requirements.

Situational Visionary leadership Model (Hersey-Blanchard Model)

The Situational Visionary leadership Model, developed by Paul Hersey and Ken Blanchard in the 1970s, is based on the premise that there is no one 'best' visionary leadership style. Rather, how well a leader works hinges upon the circumstances and the preparedness of their team members. Visionary leadership is divided into four clear styles in this model: Telling (Directive), Selling (Coaching), Participating (Supporting), and Delegating. These approaches depend on the followers' level of competency and engagement. With a leader who is experienced but needs obvious direction, the Telling approach (direct, authoritative approach) could be used. A Selling style, in which the leader motivates and explains, may follow as staff acquires knowledge and confidence. The leader uses a Participative approach as workers become better, which enables more teamwork. At last, the leader changes to a Delegating approach when workers achieve a great deal of independence and experience, therefore letting them full autonomy.

Situational visionary leadership's primary advantage is its adaptability. It understands that visionary leadership ought to be finely tuned since various workers have varied needs. For organizational leaders, educators, and managers who manage different groups with different levels of knowledge, this makes it a really practical model. Furthermore, it highlights employee growth given leaders who change their approach to assist team member's advance in their careers. The model does have difficulties, though. In companies with many staff, it calls for managers always to weigh their staff members' growth, something that can be hard. Furthermore, in high-speed settings, leaders might find themselves having trouble adjusting their style fast enough to meet the needs of several circumstances.

One typical illustration of situational visionary leadership is found in education. Though a teacher might take a directive approach when presenting new material to pupils, they are granted increasing freedom of study as they get to know the subject. That is also evident in business project management if a project manager at first provides clear direction to a new intern but later switches to delegation as the intern grows more skilled.

Transformational Visionary Leadership Model

One of the visionary leadership theories that have had the most impact in contemporary times is the Transformational Visionary leadership Model. Initially presented in 1978 by James MacGregor Burns and developed by Bernard Bass in 1985, this model posits that successful leaders inspire and raise their followers to exceed expectations. Visionaries are transformational leaders who inspire their teams, generate beneficial change, and support an innovative development atmosphere. Their four main aspects are idealized influence (leading by example), inspirational motivation (presenting a great vision), intellectual stimulation (promoting creativity), and individualized consideration (mentoring and supporting team members). Transformational visionary leadership is firm in that it inspires followers to be very engaged and motivated.

Transformational managers' staff members are generally more creative, dedicated, and content with their jobs. For companies like the technology sector that need flexibility and constant improvement, this approach is especially powerful. Still, the model has restrictions. Leaders must have excellent communication abilities, charisma, and emotional intelligence, all of which are sometimes hard to acquire. Furthermore, transformational visionary leadership can sometimes result in burnout since staff members would be under stress to consistently produce at top levels. Nelson Mandela, who inspired a nation with his vision of unity and justice, is a perfect illustration of transformational visionary leadership. Elon Musk, another well-known transformational leader, is constantly driving the limits of creativity via Tesla and SpaceX. His talent to motivate workers and question standard ideas shows the fundamental tenets of transformational visionary leadership.

Servant-Visionary Leadership Model

Resting on the theory that the best leaders are first and foremost servants; the Servant Visionary leadership Model was originally presented by Robert Greenleaf in 1970. Rather than the conventional visionary leadership models that emphasize power and control, servant visionary leadership is meant to help others to excel. Above personal ambition, the servant leader first considers the requirements of the community, customers, and staff. Ten core ideas drive servant visionary leadership: listening, empathy, healing, awareness, persuasion, conceptualization, foresight, stewardship, growth orientation, and community building. These ideals give rise to a visionary leadership style aimed at long-term success, people-centrism, and virtue. Servant visionary leadership is founded on the idea that by empowering and assisting employees, leaders create a loyal, driven, and high-performance team. Its ability to encourage trust and teamwork is one of the main benefits of servant visionary leadership. Organizations which prize ethical visionary leadership and employee well-being usually attract more engaged and happy staff. Servant visionary leadership also promotes honest decision-making, which is essential for businesses aiming to establish a constructive and sustainable culture. The model is somewhat limited. Fast-moving sectors needing instant results may find servant visionary leadership unsuitable since it is meant for the long run. Moreover, some view servant leaders as timid or passive, particularly in cutthroat settings where aggression is prized. Mahatma Gandhi is a well-known case of servant visionary leadership; he spent his life serving the Indian people and supporting nonviolent opposition. Former Starbucks chief executive officer Howard Schultz served as servant leader by giving first place to employee benefits, ethical sourcing, and social responsibility above short-term gains in the corporate sector.

Ethical Visionary Leadership Model

The Ethical Leader Model stresses that leaders should decide upon morality, equity, and social responsibility. Above personal or corporate benefit, ethical leaders place honesty, openness, and integrity first. This model is especially relevant for socially responsible businesses, government, nonprofits. Several major advantages of ethical visionary leadership are that it creates trust with both employees and stakeholders, develops a good company culture, and guarantees socially responsible business operation. Ethical visionary leadership can nevertheless sometimes result in challenging choices, particularly in sectors where ethical obligations oppose profit maximization. A well-known ethical leader is Jacinda Ardern, the former Prime Minister of New Zealand, who prioritized compassion, transparency, and inclusivity in her visionary leadership. In business, Patagonia's founder Yvon Chouinard built a company that is committed to environmental sustainability and ethical business practices.

Charismatic Visionary leadership Model: The Charismatic Visionary leadership Model is constructed around the idea that leaders influence followers by means of charisma, inspiration, and emotional connectivity. First studied by Max Weber (1947), this theory defines charismatic leaders as those with exceptional personal qualities that enable them to inspire and unite people to achieve a common goal because it hinges partly on the leader's communication and ability to captivate an audience, charismatic visionary leadership is often linked to popular celebrities, politicians, and rebel leaders.

Normally evident in charismatic leaders are these attributes: Excellent communication skills belonging in this category help individuals to persuasively present a strong idea and persuade others of their views. People with great emotional intelligence can build trust and loyalty because they understand and connect to others' emotions. Bold decision makers willing to disturb the present, innovation, and risk-taking. Most notably, charismatic visionary leadership's ability to inspire others and bring about change is remarkable. Great numbers' ability to rally makes these leaders effective in social change initiatives, business restructuring efforts, and political campaigns. The main disadvantage of this model, however, is that occasionally it relies too much on the leader's personality. If the head were to leave or drop, the company could struggle to maintain momentum. Furthermore, charismatic leaders can in some cases become too obsessed with personal power, result in ego-driven decision-making. Indicators on this behaviour exist if they are obtained in practice. Martin Luther King Jr., whose messages and vision for equality motivated millions, is a typical case of charismatic visionary leadership. The founder of Virgin Group, Richard Branson, is a magnetic leader in the business sector famous for his daring vision, risk-taking, and ability to inspire his staff members.

Adaptive Visionary Leadership Model

The Adaptive Visionary leadership Model, developed by Ronald Heifetz and Marty Linsky, is based on the idea that leaders must constantly evolve and adapt to changing environments. This model emphasizes the importance of flexibility, resilience, and innovation, as leaders face complex challenges that require continuous learning and adjustment.

Three Core Elements

- **Diagnosing Challenges** – Understanding difference between technical challenges (which have clear solutions) and adaptive challenges (which require new approaches and mindsets).
- **Empowering Others** – Encouraging employees to experiment, take risks, and develop creative solutions.
- **Regulating Distress** – Maintaining stability during periods of organizational or societal change.

One of the biggest strengths of adaptive visionary leadership is that it is highly effective in uncertain and rapidly changing environments. It allows leaders to navigate crises, implement innovative solutions, and help organizations stay competitive. However, the biggest challenge with this model is that it requires a high level of emotional intelligence and resilience. Leaders must be comfortable with ambiguity, change, and conflict, which can be difficult in high- pressure situations. A good example of adaptive visionary leadership is Barack Obama, who demonstrated flexibility and resilience while handling complex global issues. In the business world, Satya Nadella, the CEO of Microsoft, transformed the company by shifting its focus to cloud computing and AI-driven solutions, demonstrating adaptive visionary leadership in action. Visionary leadership approaches are at the core of building organizational outcomes, team collaboration, and individual performance. The paper delves into the concept of visionary leadership approaches as a determining element of effective visionary leadership. Based on an examination of emotional, cognitive, and behavioural factors, the observations take into account how visionary leadership approaches influence decision-making processes, workplace environment, and relations between leaders and followers.

One of the key observations is the shift in visionary leadership theory from such traditional trait-based theory to theories that emphasize behaviour and approaches. Traditional theories like the Great Man Theory were focused on innate traits as the foundation of visionary leadership effectiveness. But newer models such as Transformational Visionary leadership and the Approach-Behaviour (AB) Model recognize that effective visionary leadership is dynamic, and not fixed or determinate, but determined by evolving approaches that can be built with experience, self-reflection, and learning. Such building emphasizes the importance of flexibility in visionary leadership—an aspect that is increasingly important in today's fast-changing organizational environments.

The second observation is the inter-personal nature of visionary leadership approaches. It is not a single process; visionary leadership is an interdependent connection and followers' perceptions play a huge role in deciding effective visionary leadership. A leader with positive approaches such as flexibility, optimism, resilience, and empathy has a higher chance of gaining their team members' trust and cooperative approach. Negative approaches such as arrogance and indifference are likely to produce disengagement and conflict. This mutual dynamic serves to underscore the significance of leaders being cognizant of how their behaviours are perceived by their teams and adjusting their behaviours in response to form stronger connections and a positive workplace culture.

Visionary leadership approaches are also extremely context-dependent. Effective leaders shape their approaches to suit specific contexts, e.g., crisis management, innovation, or cultural diversity. For example, crisis leaders may prioritize resilience and decisiveness, whereas creative industry leaders may prioritize openness and risk-taking. This context sensitivity highlights the importance of flexibility in visionary leadership approaches—a quality that enables leaders to successfully manage different challenges while remaining faithful to organizational values.

Visionary leadership Approach Analysis

There are three interrelated dimensions of visionary leadership approaches that can be examined: affective (emotional), cognitive (mental), and behavioural (action-oriented). Each performs a unique role in shaping visionary leadership effectiveness:

Affective Dimension

The emotional component of visionary leadership approaches illustrates how leaders can connect with their employees at an emotional level. Empathy, positivity, and emotional intelligence are some of the strongest motivational drivers of this dimension. Such leaders build trust and psychological safety within their groups, where they believe that the employee has his/her back and can be their best. Satya Nadella's emphasis on empathy at Microsoft, for instance, transformed company culture into collaboration and innovation.

Cognitive Dimension

The cognitive level encompasses strategic thinking, ethical thinking, and visionary thinking. Cognitive orientations in leaders that are sound enable them to contemplate complex situations, predict future problems, and devise innovative solutions that are congruent with organizational objectives. Elon Musk's visionary thinking at SpaceX best represents this level because his ability to see grander goals has encouraged unprecedented advances in space exploration.

Behavioural Dimension

The behavioural dimension describes how leaders translate approaches into practice. Decisiveness, flexibility, responsibility, and openness are key behavioural traits that enable leaders to implement tactics while maintaining alignment with team dynamics. Jeff Bezos' customer focus at Amazon describes how repetition of behaviours from positive approaches can shape organizational culture and drive lasting prosperity.

Practical Implications

Visionary leadership Development Programs

Organizations should include approach-focused training in visionary leadership development initiatives. Self-awareness, emotional intelligence, flexibility, and resilience are some of the training programs that can help leaders build positive approaches that enhance individual performance and teamwork.

Feedback Mechanisms

Implementation of 360-degree feedback mechanisms allows leaders to gain information about how followers perceive their approaches. Feedback can be used to modify behaviours and deepen leader-follower relationships.

Contextual Adaptability

Leaders must be taught to adapt approaches to diverse situations and yet hold fast to their core values. This flexibility is required to manage crises, foster innovation, and manage diverse teams.

Culture Building

Approaches at the visionary leadership level directly influence organizational culture. By instilling positive approaches such as inclusiveness, integrity, and teamwork at the visionary leadership level, organizations can create cultures that attract top talent and durable success. Visionary leadership is much more than what leaders do or how they prepare—it is a deeply relational process that is shaped by the approaches of leaders and followers. By exploring visionary leadership through the lens of approach theory, this paper emphasizes the profound impact that emotional (affective), mental (cognitive), and actionable (behavioural) factors have on visionary leadership success. Leaders who cultivate favourable dispositions—toward themselves, their staff, and their organizational goals—are better able to create trust, foster cooperation, and facilitate engagement among their staff. Similarly, followers' perceptions of their leaders are a force that drives workplace dynamics and overall organizational success.

This paper reminds us that visionary leadership development initiatives must go beyond skill acquisition to prioritize the growth of positive mindsets such as empathy, resilience, adaptability, integrity, and inclusivity. These qualities enable leaders to manage complex issues while forming deep connections with the people they serve. While this paper has a strong theoretical foundation for understanding visionary leadership approaches, there is still much to be done in empirically studying these ideas in different industries and cultures. Future research should explore how these principles are implemented in real practice and construct practical instruments for measuring visionary leadership approaches.

Ultimately, successful visionary leadership is not so much about accomplishing things—it's about creating cultures where people feel valuable and empowered to contribute their best efforts to shared goals. Leaders who understand the power of approaches will be best positioned to drive innovation, commitment, grit—and most importantly—long-term success for people and organizations alike. This vision challenges us to redefine what visionary leadership is today: Visionary leadership is not just directing performance or exercising power—it's about connecting with others at a higher level by building trust-based relationships founded on empathy and shared purpose.

Visionary leadership is a constantly changing and profoundly relational process shaped by the beliefs and opinions of both leaders and followers far beyond the decisions leaders take or the plans they execute. Viewing visionary leadership through the prism of approach theory, this paper has examined it from a different angle to highlight the emotional, cognitive, and behavioural aspects of visionary leadership perceptions. Changing the perspective from conventional leader-centric models to a more interactive one allows one to see more clearly what really underpins effective visionary leadership.

The appeal of this strategy is found in its understanding of visionary leadership as how followers see and experience leader's deeds, not only in terms of what leaders do. Emotions, beliefs, and past experiences shape people's perceptions and therefore their decisions and actions. Concurrently, followers' opinions of their leaders, which are shaped by their emotions, ideas, and behaviour, are crucial in influencing the reception of visionary leadership and its success. This changing link forms a strong feedback loop whereby followers as much as leaders influence the results and experiences of one another.

This document emphasizes the need of developing good relationships between leaders and followers by approaching visionary leadership perceptions as mental approach. Better able to inspire trust, promote collaboration, and propel engagement are leaders who develop positive approaches—about themselves, their teams, and their objectives. Likewise, those people who have favourable opinions about their bosses are more likely to be driven, dedicated, and in line with company goals. Visionary leadership becomes more about linkages—about establishing an atmosphere where everyone feels respected and motivated to work toward common objectives than about power or control.

Research and applications both benefit significantly from the knowledge presented in this document. For scientists, it offers interesting new directions of inquiry on the impact of approaches on visionary leadership effectiveness in several environments. One can explore how visionary leadership beliefs are formed over time or how organizational arrangements, team dynamics, or cultural variations affect them. Such research would advance our knowledge of visionary leadership as a relational process even as it polished already developed theories.

This paper presents practical suggestions for those who work to make visionary leadership development courses better. Effective visionary leadership, the study implies, entails assisting leaders grasp how their actions are seen by their teams as well as imparting knowledge of techniques or talents. Stronger bonds between leaders and their charges can be developed with programs stressing self-awareness, empathy, flexibility, and emotional intelligence. Open communication, inclusiveness, psychological safety, and mutual respect can all help companies to create surroundings that promote positive approaches by providing them.

Though this paper offers a solid theoretical basis for grasping visionary leadership approaches, more research is still needed. These hypotheses should be tested in real-world conditions throughout various sectors and societies, so future study is needed. Creating real-world instruments to gauge visionary leadership approaches might enable businesses to turn these ideas into implementable policies enhancing both team dynamics and leader efficiency. Basically, visionary leadership is about creating conditions under which people feel motivated to give their best, inspiring confidence, and developing significant relationships. It is not only about producing results. Better prepared to negotiate the complexity of contemporary organizational difficulties are leaders who know the value of approaches—both their own and those of their staff. This viewpoint on visionary leadership provides a path toward more inclusive, empathetic, and professional behaviour as companies keep changing in diversity and complexity.

At bottom, this document forces us to consider what leading really implies. Visionary leadership is more than just demanding performance or earning respect; it is also about relating with people on a more intimate basis. Emphasizing approaches as the basis of successful visionary leadership helps us to create companies where people flourish together and where success is an inevitable outcome of strong relationships and common purpose not just a goal but rather a goal.

Issues for Further Research: As organizations face unprecedented changes, developing visionary leadership will be crucial for navigating both opportunities and threats. The call for proactive and innovative approaches serves as a reminder that while the future may appear daunting, it also holds immense potential for growth and collaboration if addressed with the right leadership mindset. Ultimately, the principles articulated in the paper provide a roadmap for existing and aspiring leaders to thrive despite the challenges that lie ahead. In conclusion, the paper profoundly explores the evolving role of leadership in an increasingly complex environment. By emphasizing speed, depth, and the perils inherent in a rapidly changing world, it outlines a new paradigm for leaders who must navigate multifaceted challenges. The insistence on emotional intelligence, adaptability, and a culture of learning spotlights the human elements essential for effective leadership.

What are the essential characteristics of effective visionary leaders, and how do they differ across various contexts? How can an organization balance visionary leadership with empirical evidence to ensure effective motivational practices? What specific

methodologies could be employed to study visionary leadership's impact on team performance? How does the perception of visionary leadership vary among different organizational cultures, and what implications does this have for leaders? In what ways can potential biases in existing research affect our understanding of visionary leadership's efficacy? How might followers' individual motivations influence the reception of a leader's vision? Can the effectiveness of visionary leadership be measured quantitatively, and if so, what metrics would be most reliable? How can leaders recover from setbacks due to a misguided reliance on visionary ideals? The modern challenges demand leaders to possess a nuanced blend of strategic foresight, emotional intelligence, and adaptability. They must not only navigate ambiguity but also inspire confidence in their teams amidst uncertainty. How do modern challenges reshape the skills required for effective leadership? Emotional intelligence enables leaders to empathize and connect with their teams, fostering trust and collaboration. This supportive climate encourages experimentation and creativity, which are vital for innovation. What role does emotional intelligence play in fostering innovative environments? Leaders can prepare by implementing risk assessment frameworks, fostering open communication channels for feedback, and cultivating a culture of resilience within their teams to better confront potential crises. In what ways can leaders prepare for the deadlier aspects of modern decision-making? Continuous learning helps leaders stay ahead of industry trends, technological advancements, and evolving social dynamics. It enhances their ability to make informed decisions and adapt strategies effectively. Why is continuous learning essential for modern leaders?

Conclusion: Effective visionary leaders often possess qualities such as effective communication, empathy, adaptability, and strategic thinking. These characteristics may vary in importance depending on industry, organizational culture, and team composition. Organizations can implement systems for feedback loops where leaders gather insights from followers, ensuring that their aspirations are aligned with the team's capabilities and preferences, thereby utilizing data-driven strategies alongside visionary initiatives. Methodologies could include longitudinal studies, case studies of organizations that have implemented visionary leadership effectively, and surveys measuring follower engagement and performance metrics pre- and post-implementation. The perception of visionary leadership may be enhanced in cultures that value innovation and risk-taking, whereas it may be met with skepticism in more traditional environments, highlighting the need for adaptive communication strategies. Biases can arise from researchers' pre-existing beliefs about the irrefutable nature of visionary leadership, which may skew interpretations of data. Using rigorous peer-review processes can mitigate some of this bias. Followers' motivations can greatly influence their engagement with a leader's vision; those aligned with the vision are likely to contribute actively, while those who feel disconnected may remain disengaged. The effectiveness of visionary leadership can be measured through various metrics, including employee engagement scores, turnover rates, productivity measures, and organizational performance indices. Leaders can learn from these setbacks by reassessing the vision for alignment with their followers' goals and expectations, ensuring that they cultivate a participatory environment in which collective insights drive the vision forward.

As organizations face unprecedented global challenges, understanding and cultivating visionary leadership becomes increasingly vital. Attention must be given to nurturing future leaders who can balance short-term needs with the long-term vision to ensure sustainable growth and adaptability in the face of uncertainty. Visionary leadership plays a crucial role in shaping organizational cultures and driving change. It fosters an environment where innovation is encouraged, and employees feel empowered to contribute to a shared mission. By creating an emotionally compelling vision, leaders can strengthen organizational identity and cohesion. Examining visionary leadership through a historical lens reveals its transcendence across different contexts and cultures. Leaders like Mandela and Jobs illustrate how vision can unify disparate groups, provoke social change, and instigate technological advancement. The evolution of leadership studies emphasizes the need for vision in mobilizing collective efforts. The paper recognizes that while visionary leadership is vital, it is not without challenges. Leaders often face resistance to change, or their visions may not align with current realities. Resilience, adaptability, and strategic thinking are paramount for overcoming such hurdles and ensuring the longevity of their vision.

In summary, Visionary Leadership provides a thorough examination of the elements that constitute effective leadership in an ever-evolving organizational landscape. By showcasing historical examples and delineating the critical qualities and dangers associated with visionary leadership, the paper serves as a valuable resource for both current and aspiring leaders. Engaging teams and fostering a culture that embraces vision are primary takeaways for those seeking to enhance their leadership effectiveness. As society and organizations continue to face unpredictable challenges, the cultivation of visionary leaders will remain paramount, ensuring that enterprises not only survive but thrive.

In the introductory section, the paper sets the stage for the discussion of visionary leadership, defining it as a leadership style that combines foresight with the ability to inspire and guide followers toward a common goal. The importance of visionary leadership in today's fast-paced and complex organizational environment is briefly stressed, laying the groundwork for an in-depth exploration. The second section delves into historical examples of visionary leaders, highlighting figures like Martin Luther King Jr. and Steve Jobs. The narrative explores how their unique visions have not only changed their respective fields but also influenced societal norms and expectations, showcasing the power of a vision in driving collective action towards extraordinary outcomes. The third section analyzes the key qualities that characterize visionary leaders, such as charisma, resilience, and effective communication skills. It asserts that these traits enable leaders to articulate a clear vision and rally support, which is essential for navigating through challenging periods

and championing change within organizations. Following this, the paper discusses the role of vision in fostering innovation and strategic direction within organizations. It underscores the connection between visionary leadership and organizational success, emphasizing how a strong vision can motivate teams, improve morale, and enhance productivity. The fifth section addresses the dangers inherent in leadership that lacks vision, including organizational stagnation, disengagement among employees, and the risk of failing to adapt to changing environments. This part serves as a cautionary note on the perils of not cultivating visionary leadership skills. The concluding section offers practical recommendations for current and aspiring leaders on how to develop their visionary capacities. Suggestions include engaging teams in the vision-making process, setting achievable goals, and maintaining openness to change to ensure continuous growth and adaptability.

The paper presents a strong case for a transformative approach to leadership that takes into account the rapid pace and complexity of contemporary challenges. The emphasis on speed, depth, and a recognition that modern challenges can be deadlier captures the essence of the urgency facing leaders today. The requirement for emotional intelligence is particularly poignant, as it highlights that in a world of increasing automation and distance, human connection remains paramount. Moreover, by advocating for a culture of continuous learning and innovation, the paper underscores that leaders must remain adaptable and open to new ideas and perspectives. Such flexibility is essential not only for personal growth but also for fostering an organizational environment that thrives on creativity and collaboration. This perspective invites reflection on the traits and skills that today's leaders must cultivate to remain relevant and effective. It suggests that the leadership paradigm must shift from hierarchical and transactional models to more inclusive and transformative practices that emphasize engagement and collective action.

In summary, the paper critically addresses the prevailing excitement over visionary leadership, calling for a more cautious and analytical approach when considering its effectiveness. Through evaluating current research and urging for greater investigation into this leadership style, the authors advocate for a more substantiated understanding of how vision can be communicated and received among followers. By approaching visionary leadership with diligence and a willingness to delve into empirical study, organizations can foster more engaged and motivated teams, aligning inspirational goals with practical applications and validating the principles behind successful leadership practices.

References

- Abbas, A.; Saud, M.; Suhariadi, F.; Usman, I.; Ekowati, D. Positive Leadership Psychology: Authentic And Servant Leadership In Higher Education In Pakistan. *Curr. Psychol.* 2022, 41, 5859–5871.
- Abbas, M.; Raja, U. Impact Of Psychological Capital On Innovative Performance And Job Stress. *Can. J. Adm. Sci./Rev. Can. Sci. L'Adm.* 2015, 32, 128–138.
- Al Harbi, J.A.; Alarifi, S.; Mosbah, A. Transformation Leadership And Creativity: Effects Of Employees Psychological Empowerment And Intrinsic Motivation. *Pers. Rev.* 2019, 48, 1082–1099.
- Alegre, J.; Lapedra, R.; Chiva, R. A Measurement Scale For Product Innovation Performance. *Eur. J. Innov. Manag.* 2006, 9, 333–346.
- Algoe, S. B., And J. Haidt. 2009. 'Witnessing Excellence In Action: The 'Other-Praising' Emotions Of Elevation, Gratitude, And Admiration.' *Journal Of Positive Psychology* 4, No. 2: 105–127.
- Alobeidli, S.Y.; Ahmad, S.Z.; Jabeen, F. Mediating Effects Of Knowledge Sharing And Employee Creativity On The Relationship Between Visionary Leadership And Innovative Work Behavior. *Manag. Res. Rev.* 2024; Ahead-Of-Print.
- Amnesty International. 1996. 'United States Of America: Police Brutality And Excessive Force In The New York City Police Department.' *Amnesty International Report* 51, No. 36: 1–73.
- Amoako, G.K. A Conceptual Framework: Corporate Environmental Management Activities And Sustainable Competitive Advantage. *Manag. Environ. Qual. Int. J.* 2020, 31, 331–347.
- Antonakis, J., N. Bastardo, P. Jacquart, And B. Shamir. 2016. 'Charisma: An Ill-Defined And Ill-Measured Gift.' *Annual Review Of Organizational Psychology And Organizational Behavior* 3, No. 1: 293–319.
- Anwar, M.; Rehman, A.U.; Shah, S.Z.A. Networking And New Venture's Performance: Mediating Role Of Competitive Advantage. *Int. J. Emerg. Mark.* 2018, 13, 998–1025.

- Aquino, K., B. McFerran, And M. Laven. 2011. 'Moral Identity And The Experience Of Moral Elevation In Response To Acts Of Uncommon Goodness.' *Journal Of Personality And Social Psychology* 100, No. 4: 703–718.
- Arsawan, I.W.E.; Koval, V.; Rajiani, I.; Rustiarini, N.W.; Supartha, W.G.; Suryantini, N.P.S. Leveraging Knowledge Sharing And Innovation Culture Into Smes Sustainable Competitive Advantage. *Int. J. Product. Perform. Manag.* 2022, 71, 405–428.
- Audije, J.A.M.; Panoy, J.F.D. Visionary Leadership Strategies In Nurturing Teachers' Innovative Behavioral Competencies: The Mediating Role Of Leader Supportiveness. *TWIST* 2024, 19, 535–540.
- Ayal, S., And F. Gino. 2012. 'Honest Rationales For Dishonest Behavior.' In *The Social Psychology Of Morality: Exploring The Causes Of Good And Evil*. Edited By M. Mikulincer, And P. R. Shaver, 149–166. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Ayaz, Z.; Javed, S.; Wali, S.S. Impact Of Visionary Leadership On Pro-Social Motivation And Emotional Exhaustion. *UW J. Manag. Sci.* 2021, 5, 86–103.
- Babcock, L., And G. Loewenstein. 1997. 'Explaining Bargaining Impasse: The Role Of Self-Serving Biases.' *Journal Of Economic Perspectives* 11, No. 1: 109–126.
- Barnett, M. A., F. W. Sanborn, And A. C. Shane. 2005. 'Factors Associated With Individuals' Likelihood Of Engaging In Various Minor Moral And Legal Violations.' *Basic And Applied Social Psychology* 27, No. 1: 77–84.
- Bass, B. M., And R. Bass. 2009. *The Bass Handbook Of Leadership: Theory, Research, And Managerial Applications* (4th Ed.). New York: Free Press.
- Bazerman, M. H., And O. Sezer. 2016. 'Bounded Awareness: Implications For Ethical Decision Making.' *Organizational Behavior And Human Decision Processes* 136: 95–105.
- Begum, S.; Xia, E.; Mehmood, K.; Iftikhar, Y.; Li, Y. The Impact Of Ceos' Transformational Leadership On Sustainable Organizational Innovation In Smes: A Three-Wave Mediating Role Of Organizational Learning And Psychological Empowerment. *Sustainability* 2020, 12, 8620.
- Berson, Y., N. Halevy, B. Shamir, And M. Erez. 2015. 'Leading From Different Psychological Distances: A Construal-Level Perspective On Vision Communication, Goal Setting, And Follower Motivation.' *Leadership Quarterly* 26, No. 2: 143–155.
- Bolino, M. C., And A. M. Grant. 2016. 'The Bright Side Of Being Prosocial At Work, And The Dark Side, Too: A Review And Agenda For Research On Other-Oriented Motives, Behavior, And Impact In Organizations.' *Academy Of Management Annals* 10, No.1: 599–670.
- Bollen, K.A. *Structural Equations With Latent Variables*; John Wiley & Sons: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 1989; Volume 210.
- Bose, S.; Patnaik, B.; Mohanty, S. The Mediating Role Of Psychological Empowerment In The Relationship Between Transformational Leadership And Organizational Identification Of Employees. *J. Appl. Behav. Sci.* 2021, 57, 490–510.
- Bratton, W., And P. Knobler. 1998. *Turnaround: How America's Top Cop Reversed The Crime Epidemic*. New York: Random House.
- Brislin, R. W. 1980. 'Translation And Content Analysis Of Oral And Written Material.' In *Handbook Of Cross-Cultural Psychology*. Edited By H. C. Triandis, And J. W. Berry, 389–444. Boston: Allyn & Bacon.
- Brown, T.A.; Moore, M.T. Confirmatory Factor Analysis. In *Handbook Of Structural Equation Modeling*; Guilford Publications: New York, NY, USA, 2012; Pp. 361–379.
- Bryant, W., And S. M. Merritt. 2021. 'Unethical Pro-Organizational Behavior And Positive Leader–Employee Relationships.' *Journal Of Business Ethics* 168, No. 4: 777–793.
- Cai, W.; Fan, X.; Wang, Q. Linking Visionary Leadership To Creativity At Multiple Levels: The Role Of Goal-Related Processes. *J. Bus. Res.* 2023, 167, 114182.
- Carlo, G., G. P. Knight, M. McGinley, R. Goodvin, And S. C. Roesch. 2010. 'The Developmental Relations Between Perspective Taking And Prosocial Behaviors: A Meta-Analytic Examination Of The Task-Specificity Hypothesis.' In *Self And Social Regulation: Social*

Interaction And The Development Of Social Understanding And Executive Functions. Edited By B. W. Sokol, U. Müller, J. I. M. Carpendale, A. R. Young, And G. Iarocci, 234–269. New York: Oxford University Press.

- Carton, A. M. 2018. 'I'm Not Mopping The Floors, I'm Putting A Man On The Moon': How NASA Leaders Enhanced The Meaningfulness Of Work By Changing The Meaning Of Work.' *Administrative Science Quarterly* 63, No. 2: 323–369.
- Carton, A. M., And B. J. Lucas. 2018. 'How Can Leaders Overcome The Blurry Vision Bias? Identifying An Antidote To The Paradox Of Vision Communication.' *Academy Of Management Journal* 61, No. 6: 2106–2129.
- Carton, A. M., C. Murphy, And J. R. Clark. 2014. 'A (Blurry) Vision Of The Future: How Leader Rhetoric About Ultimate Goals Influences Performance.' *Academy Of Management Journal* 57, No. 6: 1544–1570.
- Carton, A.M.; Murphy, C.; Clark, J.R. A (Blurry) Vision Of The Future: How Leader Rhetoric About Ultimate Goals Influences Performance. *Acad. Manag. J.* 2014, 57, 1544–1570.
- Chaudhuri, R.; Chatterjee, S.; Mariani, M.M.; Wamba, S.F. Assessing The Influence Of Emerging Technologies On Organizational Data Driven Culture And Innovation Capabilities: A Sustainability Performance Perspective. *Technol. Forecast. Soc. Change* 2024, 200, 123165.
- Chen, C.; Shi, K.; Lu, J.F. Transformational Leadership For Creative Behavior: A Moderated Mediation Effect Model. *J. Manag. Sci.* 2015, 28, 11–22.
- Chen, G.; Liu, R.; Chen, J. The Impact Of Visionary Leadership On Voice Behavior: The Mediating Role Of Career Calling. *Trans. Soc. Sci. Educ. Humanit. Res.* 2023, 2, 288–291.
- Chen, G.Q.; Wang, X.H.; Li, Q.; Lei, J.S. The Impact Of Organizational Empowerment On Organizational Learning Capability And Strategic Flexibility. *Sci. Res. Manag.* 2012, 33, 128–136.
- Chen, H.; Yang, Y.S.; Wang, X.H. The Effect Of Visionary Leadership Behavior On Subordinate Performance: A Sequential Mediated Model Of Subordinate Positive Affect And Vision Integration. *J. Bus. Econ.* 2022, 367, 46–56.
- Chen, P.; Gu, J.P. Effects Of Visionary Leadership On Innovative Performance: Date Analysis Of Smart Enterprises Surveys. *Nanjing J. Soc. Sci.* 2014, 12, 30–36.
- Chen, X.T.; Li, Y.; Lu, Y.X. Influence Of Transformational Leadership On Employees' Deviant Innovative Performance: Empirical Analysis Based On Chinese Management Context. *East China Econ. Manag.* 2022, 36, 45–54.
- Clark, M. A., M. M. Robertson, And S. Young. 2019. 'I Feel Your Pain': A Critical Review Of Organizational Research On Empathy.' *Journal Of Organizational Behavior* 40, No. 2: 166–192.
- Conger, J.A.; Kanungo, R.N. Charismatic Leadership In Organizations: Perceived Behavioral Attributes And Their Measurement. *J. Organ. Behav.* 1994, 15, 439–452.
- Cortina, J.M. What Is Coefficient Alpha? An Examination Of Theory And Applications. *J. Appl. Psychol.* 1993, 78, 98.
- Cronbach, L.J. Coefficient Alpha And The Internal Structure Of Tests. *Psychometrika* 1951, 16, 297–334.
- Crucke, S.; Kluijtmans, T.; Meyfrootd, K.; Desmidt, S. How Does Organizational Sustainability Foster Public Service Motivation And Job Satisfaction? The Mediating Role Of Organizational Support And Societal Impact Potential. *Public Manag. Rev.* 2022, 24, 1155–1181.
- Cunneen, C. 1999. 'Zero Tolerance Policing And The Experience Of New York City.' *Current Issues In Criminal Justice* 10, No. 3: 299–313.
- Davis, M. H. 1980. 'A Multidimensional Approach To Individual Differences In Empathy.' *Catalog Of Selected Documents In Psychology* 10: 85. https://www.uv.es/friasnav/Davis_1980.Pdf.
- De Luque, M. S., N. T. Washburn, D. A. Waldman, And R. J. House. 2008. 'Unrequited Profit: How Stakeholder And Economic Values Relate To Subordinates' Perceptions Of Leadership And Firm Performance.' *Administrative Science Quarterly* 53, No. 4: 626–654.

- Dedahanov, A.T.; Bozorov, F.; Sung, S. Paternalistic Leadership And Innovative Behavior: Psychological Empowerment As A Mediator. *Sustainability* 2019, 11, 1770.
- Deng, W.J.; Wen, P. The Influence Mechanism Of CEO Exploitative Leadership On Organizational Innovation Performance: The Mediating Role Of Organizational Learning And Moderating Role Of Environmental Competitiveness. *Sci. Technol. Prog. Policy* 2023, 40, 141–150.
- Ditto, P. H., D. A. Pizarro, And D. Tannenbaum. 2009. 'Motivated Moral Reasoning.' In *Moral Judgment And Decision Making*. Edited By In. D. M. Bartels, C. W. Bauman, L. J. Skitka, And D. L. Medin, 307–338. San Diego, CA: Elsevier Academic Press.
- Du, J.Y.; Cui, H.L. Study On Effect Of Complementary Knowledge On The Dynamic Capability Of Technological Innovation—Organizational Learning As A Moderator Variable. *J. Tech. Econ. Manag.* 2019, 9, 45–52.
- Du, M.; Bao, Z. Visionary Leadership And Employee Voice Behavior: Mediating Role Of Self-Efficacy. *Soc. Behav. Personal. Int. J.* 2023, 51, 68–74.
- Dwivedi, R.S. Visionary Leadership: A Survey Of Literature And Case Study Of Dr Apj Abdul Kalam At Drdl. *Vision* 2006, 10, 11–21.
- Echebiri, C.; Amundsen, S.; Engen, M. Linking Structural Empowerment To Employee-Driven Innovation: The Mediating Role Of Psychological Empowerment. *Adm. Sci.* 2020, 10, 42.
- Edwards, J. R., And L. S. Lambert. 2007. 'Methods For Integrating Moderation And Mediation: A General Analytical Framework Using Moderated Path Analysis.' *Psychological Methods* 12, No. 1: 1–22.
- Effelsberg, D., M. Solga, And J. Gurt. 2014. 'Transformational Leadership And Follower's Unethical Behavior For The Benefit Of The Company: A Two-Study Investigation.' *Journal Of Business Ethics* 120: 81–93.
- Epley, N., E. M. Caruso, And M. H. Bazerman. 2006. 'When Perspective Taking Increases Taking: Reactive Egoism In Social Interaction.' *Journal Of Personality And Social Psychology* 91, No. 5: 872–889.
- Eyal, T., M. D. Sagristano, Y. Trope, N. Liberman, And S. Chaiken. 2009. 'When Values Matter: Expressing Values In Behavioral Intentions For The Near Vs. Distant Future.' *Journal Of Experimental Social Psychology* 45, No. 1: 35–43.
- Fan, N. Analysis On Mechanism Of Psychological Empowerment Of R&D Personnel On Innovative Performance. *J. Shenyang Norm. Univ. (Nat. Sci. Ed.)* 2020, 38, 422–427.
- Fan, X.L.; Wang, X.H. Literature Review On Visionary Leadership And Prospects For Future Study. *Bus. Manag. J.* 2017, 39, 174–189.
- Farhan, B.Y. Visionary Leadership And Innovative Mindset For Sustainable Business Development: Case Studies And Practical Applications. *Res. Glob.* 2024, 8, 100219.
- Faulks, B.; Song, Y.; Waiganjo, M.; Obrenovic, B.; Godinic, D. Impact Of Empowering Leadership, Innovative Work, And Organizational Learning Readiness On Sustainable Economic Performance: An Empirical Study Of Companies In Russia During The COVID-19 Pandemic. *Sustainability* 2021, 13, 12465.
- Fehr, E., And U. Fischbacher. 2003. 'The Nature Of Human Altruism.' *Nature* 425: 785–791.
- Fehr, R., A. Fulmer, And F. T. Keng-Highberger. 2020. 'How Do Employees React To Leaders' Unethical Behavior? The Role Of Moral Disengagement.' *Personnel Psychology* 73, No. 1: 73–93.
- Fisher, M.J.; Marshall, A.P. Understanding Descriptive Statistics. *Aust. Crit. Care* 2009, 22, 93–97.
- Fornell, C.; Larcker, D.F. Structural Equation Models With Unobservable Variables And Measurement Error: Algebra And Statistics. *J. Mark. Res.* 1981, 18, 382–388.
- Freeman, D., K. Aquino, And B. McFerran. 2009. 'Overcoming Beneficiary Race As An Impediment To Charitable Donations: Social Dominance Orientation, The Experience Of Moral Elevation, And Donation Behavior.' *Personality And Social Psychology Bulletin* 35, No. 1: 72–84.

- Gao, Q.Z.; Huang, B. Effect Of Employee-Organization Relationship On Scientific Research Innovative Performance From The Perspective Of Social Exchange Theory. *Sci. Technol. Manag. Res.* 2022, 42, 125–132.
- Gino, F., And A. D. Galinsky. 2012. 'Vicarious Dishonesty: When Psychological Closeness Creates Distance From One's Moral Compass.' *Organizational Behavior And Human Decision Processes* 119, No. 1: 15–26.
- Gino, F., And D. Ariely. 2012. 'The Dark Side Of Creativity: Original Thinkers Can Be More Dishonest.' *Journal Of Personality And Social Psychology* 102, No. 3: 445–459.
- Gino, F., And L. Pierce. 2009. 'Dishonesty In The Name Of Equity.' *Psychological Science* 20, No. 9: 1153–1160.
- Gino, F., And L. Pierce. 2010. 'Robin Hood Under The Hood: Wealth-Based Discrimination In Illicit Customer Help.' *Organization Science* 21, No. 6: 1176–1194.
- Gozukara, I.; Yildirim, O.; Yildiz, B. Innovative Behavior: Relations With Developmental Culture, Psychological Empowerment, Distributive Justice And Organizational Learning Capacity. *Int. Bus. Res.* 2016, 9, 186–200.
- Grabosky, P. N. 1999. 'Zero Tolerance Policing.' *Trends & Issues In Crime And Criminal Justice* 102: 1–4.
- Graham, K. A., J. C. Ziegert, And J. Capitano. 2015. 'The Effect Of Leadership Style, Framing, And Promotion Regulatory Focus On Unethical Pro-Organizational Behavior.' *Journal Of Business Ethics* 126: 423–436.
- Grant, A. M., And D. A. Hofmann. 2011. 'Outsourcing Inspiration: The Performance Effects Of Ideological Messages From Leaders And Beneficiaries.' *Organizational Behavior And Human Decision Processes* 116, No. 2: 173–187.
- Grant, A. M., And J. W. Berry. 2011. 'The Necessity Of Others Is The Mother Of Invention: Intrinsic And Prosocial Motivations, Perspective Taking, And Creativity.' *Academy Of Management Journal* 54, No. 1: 73–96.
- Greenbaum, R., J. Bonner, T. Gray, And M. Mawritz. 2020. 'Moral Emotions: A Review And Research Agenda For Management Scholarship.' *Journal Of Organizational Behavior* 41, No. 2: 95–114.
- Greenberg, J. 2002. 'Who Stole The Money, And When? Individual And Situational Determinants Of Employee Theft.' *Organizational Behavior And Human Decision Processes* 89, No. 1: 985–1003.
- Greene, J. D., R. B. Sommerville, L. E. Nystrom, J. M. Darley, And J. D. Cohen. 2001. 'An Fmri Investigation Of Emotional Engagement In Moral Judgment.' *Science* 293, No. 5537: 2105–2108.
- Grošelj, M.; Černe, M.; Penger, S.; Grah, B. Authentic And Transformational Leadership And Innovative Work Behaviour: The Moderating Role Of Psychological Empowerment. *Eur. J. Innov. Manag.* 2021, 24, 677–706.
- Groves, K.S. Leader Emotional Expressivity, Visionary Leadership, And Organizational Change. *Leadersh. Organ. Dev. J.* 2006, 27, 566–583.
- Haidt, J. 2000. 'The Positive Emotion Of Elevation.' *Prevention & Treatment* 3, No. 1). <http://Psycnet.Apa.Org/Journals/Pre/3/1/3c.Html>.
- Haidt, J. 2001. 'The Emotional Dog And Its Rational Tail: A Social Intuitionist Approach To Moral Judgment.' *Psychological Review* 108, No. 4: 814–834.
- Haidt, J. 2003. 'The Moral Emotions.' In *Handbook Of Affective Sciences*. Edited By In. R. J. Davidson, K. R. Scherer, And H. H. Goldsmith, 852–870. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press.
- Haidt, J. 2012. *The Righteous Mind: Why Good People Are Divided By Politics And Religion*. New York: Pantheon Books.
- Haidt, J. 2021. *The Happiness Hypothesis: Ten Ways To Find Happiness And Meaning In Life*. London, United Kingdom: Random House Business.

- Halevy, N., Y. Berson, And A. D. Galinsky. 2011. 'The Mainstream Is Not Electable: When Vision Triumphs Over Representativeness In Leader Emergence And Effectiveness.' *Personality And Social Psychology Bulletin* 37, No. 7: 893–904.
- Han, Y. A Casual Model Of Development And Empirical Study On Employee Job Performance Construct. *Pers. Psychol.* 2006, 43, 231–239.
- Han, Y.; Li, D. Effects Of Intellectual Capital On Innovative Performance: The Role Of Knowledge-Based Dynamic Capability. *Manag. Decis.* 2015, 53, 40–56.
- Hancock, G.R.; Mueller, R.O. Rethinking Construct Reliability Within Latent Variable Systems. *Struct. Equ. Model. Present Future* 2001, 195, 60–70.
- Harari, Y. N. 2018. *21 Lessons For The 21st Century*. London, United Kingdom: Jonathan Cape.
- Hardoon, D.R.; Szedmak, S.; Shawe-Taylor, J. Canonical Correlation Analysis: An Overview With Application To Learning Methods. *Neural Comput.* 2004, 16, 2639–2664.
- Hayes, A. F. 2022. *Introduction To Mediation, Moderation, And Conditional Process Analysis: A Regression-Based Approach (3rd Ed)*. London, United Kingdom: Taylor & Francis.
- Helmy, I.; Adawiyah, W.R.; Banani, A. Linking Psychological Empowerment, Knowledge Sharing, And Employees' Innovative Behavior In Smes. *J. Behav. Sci.* 2019, 14, 66–79.
- Hoffman, B. J., B. H. Bynum, R. F. Piccolo, And A. W. Sutton. 2011. 'Person-Organization Value Congruence: How Transformational Leaders Influence Work Group Effectiveness.' *Academy Of Management Journal* 54, No. 4: 779–796.
- Hofstede, G. 2011. 'Dimensionalizing Cultures: The Hofstede Model In Context.' *Online Readings In Psychology And Culture* 2, No. 1. <https://doi.org/10.9707/2307-0919.1014>.
- Hu, L., And P. M. Bentler. 1999. 'Cutoff Criteria For Fit Indexes In Covariance Structure Analysis: Conventional Criteria Versus New Alternatives.' *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal* 6, No. 1: 1–55.
- Hu, L.L.; Ye, L.; Guo, M.; Liu, Y.S. The Influence Of Leader Humor On Employees' Organizational Citizenship Behavior: A Mediated Moderating Model. *Manag. Rev.* 2022, 34, 205–216.
- Jantz, R.C. Vision, Innovation, And Leadership In Research Libraries. *Libr. Inf. Sci. Res.* 2017, 39, 234–241.
- Jin, X.; Qing, C.; Jin, S. Ethical Leadership And Innovative Behavior: Mediating Role Of Voice Behavior And Moderated Mediation Role Of Psychological Safety. *Sustainability* 2022, 14, 5125.
- Jing, Z.C.; Bai, W. Research On The Relationship Between Ethical Leadership And Employee Innovative Performance. *J. Xi'an Univ. Financ. Econ.* 2021, 34, 119–128.
- Johnson, H. H., And E. E. Umphress. 2019. 'To Help My Supervisor: Identification, Moral Identity, And Unethical Pro-Supervisor Behavior.' *Journal Of Business Ethics* 159, No. 2: 519–534.
- Jung, D.I.; Avolio, B.J. Opening The Black Box: An Experimental Investigation Of The Mediating Effects Of Trust And Value Congruence On Transformational And Transactional Leadership. *J. Organ. Behav.* 2000, 21, 949–964.
- Kalshoven, K., H. Van Dijk, And C. Boon. 2016. 'Why And When Does Ethical Leadership Evoke Unethical Follower Behavior?' *Journal Of Managerial Psychology* 31, No. 2: 500–515.
- Kara, E.; Akbaba, M.; Yakut, E.; Çetinel, M.H.; Pasli, M.M. The Mediating Effect Of Green Human Resources Management On The Relationship Between Organizational Sustainability And Innovative Behavior: An Application In Turkey. *Sustainability* 2023, 15, 2068.
- Kearney, E., M. Shemla, D. Van Knippenberg, And F. A. Scholz. 2019. 'A Paradox Perspective On The Interactive Effects Of Visionary And Empowering Leadership.' *Organizational Behavior And Human Decision Processes* 155: 20–30.

- Klösel, K. Visionary And Empowering Leadership In Smes. *J. Int. Coun. Small Bus.* 2021, 2, 340–346.
- Kohlberg, L. 1969. 'Stage And Sequence: The Cognitive Development Approach To Socialization.' In *Handbook Of Socialization Theory*. Edited By In. D. A. Goslin, 347–480. Chicago: Rand McNally.
- Ku, G., C. S. Wang, And A. D. Galinsky. 2015. 'The Promise And Perversity Of Perspective-Taking In Organizations.' *Research In Organizational Behavior* 35: 79–102.
- Lee, G.S.; Hwang, B.J.; Lee, Y.H. Effects Of Individual Creativity And Perceived Organization's Value Innovation Culture On Innovative Behavior And Innovative Performance. *Korean Bus. Educ. Rev.* 2016, 31, 283–311.
- Li, H.; Zhao, T.; Li, C.; Pang, X. Linking Visionary Leadership With Employee Creativity: Perceived Organizational Support As A Mediator. *Soc. Behav. Personal. Int. J.* 2023, 51, 1–8.
- Li, M.L.; Sun, M.G. How Does Extra-Role Behavior Affect Employees' Innovation Behavior?—A Mediation Model With Regulation. *Chin. Pers. Sci.* 2022, 11, 82–92.
- Li, Y.; Huang, R. Effects Of Psychological Empowerment On Innovative Performance Of R&D Employees: The Mediation Of Intrinsic Work Motivation And The Moderation Of Locus Of Control. *East China Econ. Manag.* 2014, 28, 116–120.
- Li, Y.; Rui, M.J. Impact Of Organizational Learning Mode On The Performance Of Management Innovation Under Different Organizational Cultures. *R D Manag.* 2008, 20, 35–40.
- Li, Y.L. Research On The Relationship Between Enterprise Age And Innovative Performance Based On The Type Of Innovation Environment. *Enterp. Econ.* 2015, 8, 30–35.
- Li, Y.Z. Impact Of Transformational Leadership On Employees' Innovative Behavior: Roles Of Psychological Empowerment And Affective Commitment. *Sci. Res. Manag.* 2018, 39, 123–130.
- Lian, H., M. Huai, J.-L. Farh, J.-C. Huang, C. Lee, And M. M. Chao. 2022. 'Leader Unethical Pro-Organizational Behavior And Employee Unethical Conduct: Social Learning Of Moral Disengagement As A Behavioral Principle.' *Journal Of Management* 48, No. 2: 350–379.
- Liang, S. G., And S. C. Steve Chi. 2013. 'Transformational Leadership And Follower Task Performance: The Role Of Susceptibility To Positive Emotions And Follower Positive Emotions.' *Journal Of Business And Psychology* 28: 17–29.
- Lin, C.Y.; Huang, C.K. Employee Turnover Intentions And Job Performance From A Planned Change: The Effects Of An Organizational Learning Culture And Job Satisfaction. *Int. J. Manpow.* 2021, 42, 409–423.
- Liu, J.J.; Zou, H.M. The Impact Of Transformational Leadership And Psychological Empowerment On Employee Creativity. *Sci. Res. Manag.* 2013, 34, 68–74.
- Liu, Z., H. Liao, And Y. Liu. 2020. 'For The Sake Of My Family: Understanding Unethical Pro-Family Behavior In The Workplace.' *Journal Of Organizational Behavior* 41, No. 7: 638–662.
- Loehlin, J.C. *Latent Variable Models: An Introduction To Factor, Path, And Structural Equation Analysis*; Psychology Press: London, UK, 2004.
- Lokshin, B.; Van Gils, A.; Bauer, E. Crafting Firm Competencies To Improve Innovative Performance. *Eur. Manag. J.* 2009, 27, 187–196.
- Longmire, N. H., And D. A. Harrison. 2018. 'Seeing Their Side Versus Feeling Their Pain: Differential Consequences Of Perspective-Taking And Empathy At Work.' *Journal Of Applied Psychology* 103, No. 8: 894–915.
- Luo, Y. J., Y. P. Li, J. N. Choi, And J. Du. 2020. 'Visionary Leadership Effectiveness: Moderating Roles Of Power Distance And Middle-Way Thinking.' *Social Behavior And Personality: An International Journal* 48, No. 12: 1–12.
- Lupoli, M. J., L. Jampol, And C. Oveis. 2017. 'Lying Because We Care: Compassion Increases Prosocial Lying.' *Journal Of Experimental Psychology: General* 146, No. 7: 1026–1042.

- Makhrus, M.; Sunardi, O.; Retnowati, R. Increasing Teachers'creativity Through The Development Of Organizational Culture, Empowerment And Visionary Leadership Of School Principles. *Int. J. Soc. Manag. Stud.* 2022, 3, 20–33.
- Mao, Y.H.; Kang, X.T.; Fang, Y.L. Research On The Impact Of Innovation Climate And Knowledge Management On Innovative Performance. *Stud. Sci. Sci.* 2021, 39, 519–529.
- Maran, T.K.; Baldegger, U.; Klösel, K. Turning Visions Into Results: Unraveling The Distinctive Paths Of Leading With Vision And Autonomy To Goal Achievement. *Leadersh. Organ. Dev. J.* 2022, 43, 133–154.
- Mascareño, J.; Rietzschel, E.; Wisse, B. Envisioning Innovation: Does Visionary Leadership Engender Team Innovative Performance Through Goal Alignment? *Creat. Innov. Manag.* 2020, 29, 33–48.
- Maxamadumarovich, U.A.; Obrenovic, B.; Amonboyev, M. Understanding The Innovation Concept. *J. Innov. Sustain. RISUS* 2012, 3, 19–26.
- Mishra, M., K. Ghosh, And D. Sharma. 2021. 'Unethical Pro-Organizational Behavior: A Systematic Review And Future Research Agenda.' *Journal Of Business Ethics* 179, No. 1: 63–87.
- Mitchell, M. S., G. Rivera, And L. K. Treviño. 2023. 'Unethical Leadership: A Review, Analysis, And Research Agenda.' *Personnel Psychology* 76, No. 2: 547–583.
- Mo, S., M. J. Lupoli, A. Newman, and E. E. Umphress. 2023. 'Good Intentions, Bad Behavior: A Review And Synthesis Of The Literature On Unethical Prosocial Behavior (UPB) At Work.' *Journal Of Organizational Behavior* 44, No. 2: 335–354.
- Moore, C., And A. E. Tenbrunsel. 2014. "Just Think About It"? Cognitive Complexity And Moral Choice.' *Organizational Behavior And Human Decision Processes* 123, No. 2: 138–149.
- Moore, C., J. R. Detert, L. Klebe Treviño, V. L. Baker, And D. M. Mayer. 2012. 'Why Employees Do Bad Things: Moral Disengagement And Unethical Organizational Behavior.' *Personnel Psychology* 65, No. 1: 1–48.
- Mulla, M. M., T. H. Witte, K. Richardson, Et Al. 2019. 'The Causal Influence Of Perceived Social Norms On Intimate Partner Violence Perpetration: Converging Cross-Sectional, Longitudinal, And Experimental Support For A Social Disinhibition Model.' *Personality And Social Psychology Bulletin* 45, No. 4: 652–668.
- Newburn, T., And T. Jones. 2007. 'Symbolizing Crime Control: Reflections On Zero Tolerance.' *Theoretical Criminology* 11, No. 2: 221–243.
- Niu, C., F. Liang, X. Meng, And Y. O. Liu. 2020. 'The Inverted U-Shaped Relationship Between Authentic Leadership And Unethical Pro-Organizational Behavior.' *Social Behavior& Personality: An International Journal* 48, No. 11: 1–11.
- Oh, I. S., J. H. Han, B. Holtz, Y. J. Kim, And S. Kim. 2018. 'Do Birds Of A Feather Flock, Fly, And Continue To Fly Together? The Differential And Cumulative Effects Of Attraction, Selection, And Attrition On Personality-Based Within-Organization Homogeneity And Between-Organization Heterogeneity Progression Over Time.' *Journal Of Organizational Behavior* 39, No. 10: 1347–1366.
- Orieno, O.H.; Udeh, C.A.; Oriekhoe, O.I.; Odonkor, B.; Ndubuisi, N.L. Innovative Management Strategies In Contemporary Organizations: A Review: Analyzing The Evolution And Impact Of Modern Management Practices, With An Emphasis On Leadership, Organizational Culture, And Change Management. *Int. J. Manag. Entrep. Res.* 2024, 6, 167–190.
- Pantouvakis, A.; Bouranta, N. The Link Between Organizational Learning Culture And Customer Satisfaction: Confirming Relationship And Exploring Moderating Effect. *Learn. Organ.* 2013, 20, 48–64.
- Parker, S. K., And C. M. Axtell. 2001. 'Seeing Another Viewpoint: Antecedents And Outcomes Of Employee Perspective Taking.' *Academy Of Management Journal* 44, No. 6: 1085–1100.
- Patwary, A.K.; Alwi, M.K.; Rehman, S.U.; Rabiul, M.K.; Babatunde, A.Y.; Alam, M.M.D. Knowledge Management Practices On Innovation Performance In The Hotel Industry: Mediated By Organizational Learning And Organizational Creativity. *Glob. Knowl. Mem. Commun.* 2024, 73, 662–681.

- Pierce, J. R., G. J. Kilduff, A. D. Galinsky, And N. Sivanathan. 2013. 'From Glue To Gasoline: How Competition Turns Perspective Takers Unethical.' *Psychological Science* 24, No. 10: 1986–1994.
- Podsakoff, P. M., S. B. Mackenzie, J.-Y. Lee, And N. P. Podsakoff. 2003. 'Common Method Biases In Behavioral Research: A Critical Review Of The Literature And Recommended Remedies.' *Journal Of Applied Psychology* 88, No. 5: 879–903.
- Podsakoff, P.M.; Organ, D.W. Self-Reports In Organizational Research: Problems And Prospects. *J. Manag.* 1986, 12, 531–544.
- Police Department City Of New York 2021. 'Report Covering The Week 8/30/2021 Through 9/5/2021.' *Compstat* 28, No. 35: 1.
- Potnuru, R.K.G.; Sahoo, C.K.; Sharma, R. Team Building, Employee Empowerment And Employee Competencies: Moderating Role Of Organizational Learning Culture. *Eur. J. Train. Dev.* 2018, 43, 39–60.
- Ratnawati; Soetjipto, B.E.; Murwani, F.D.; Wahyono, H. The Role Of Smes' Innovation And Learning Orientation In Mediating The Effect Of CSR Programme On Smes' Performance And Competitive Advantage. *Glob. Bus. Rev.* 2018, 19 (Suppl. S3), S21–S38.
- Safari, K.; Haghighi, A.S.; Rastegar, A.; Jamshidi, A. The Relationship Between Psychological Empowerment And Organizational Learning. *Procedia-Soc. Behav. Sci.* 2011, 30, 1147–1152. Green Version
- Saira, S.; Mansoor, S.; Ali, M. Transformational Leadership And Employee Outcomes: The Mediating Role Of Psychological Empowerment. *Leadersh. Organ. Dev. J.* 2021, 42, 130–143.
- Schnall, S., J. Roper, And D. M. T. Fessler. 2010. 'Elevation Leads To Altruistic Behavior.' *Psychological Science* 21, No. 3: 315–320.
- Schneider, B. 1987. 'The People Make The Place.' *Personnel Psychology* 40, No. 3: 437–453.
- Schram, J., R. Toback, And B. Fredericks (2016, August 3). Colleagues Praise Bill Bratton's Fight Against Crime. *New York Post*. <https://nypost.com/2016/08/03/Colleagues-Praise-Bill-Brattons-Fight-Against-Crime/>.
- Seibert, S.E.; Wang, G.; Courtright, S.H. Antecedents And Consequences Of Psychological And Team Empowerment In Organizations: A Meta-Analytic Review. *J. Appl. Psychol.* 2011, 96, 981–1003.
- Senge, P.M. *The Fifth Discipline: The Art And Practice Of The Learning Organization*; Broadway Business: Sydney, Australia, 2006.
- Škerlavaj, M.; Song, J.H.; Lee, Y. Organizational Learning Culture, Innovative Culture And Innovations In South Korean Firms. *Expert Syst. Appl.* 2010, 37, 6390–6403.
- Škerlavaj, M.; Štemberger, M.I.; Dimovski, V. Organizational Learning Culture—The Missing Link Between Business Process Change And Organizational Performance. *Int. J. Prod. Econ.* 2007, 106, 346–367.
- Spreitzer, G.M. Psychological Empowerment In The Workplace: Dimensions, Measurement, And Validation. *Acad. Manag. J.* 1995, 38, 1442–1465.
- Stam, D., D. Van Knippenberg, And B. Wisse. 2010a. 'Focusing On Followers: The Role Of Regulatory Focus And Possible Selves In Visionary Leadership.' *The Leadership Quarterly* 21, No. 3: 457–468.
- Stam, D., D. Van Knippenberg, And B. Wisse. 2010b. 'The Role Of Regulatory Fit In Visionary Leadership.' *Journal Of Organizational Behavior* 31, No. 4: 499–518.
- Stanescu, D.F.; Zbucea, A.; Pinzaru, F. Transformational Leadership And Innovative Work Behaviour: The Mediating Role Of Psychological Empowerment. *Kybernetes* 2021, 50, 1041–1057.
- Sun, Y.; Wang, J. Transformational Leadership And Employees' Advice: Mediating Role Of Psychological Empowerment. *Tax. Econ.* 2015, 1, 28–33.
- Sy, T., C. Horton, And R. Riggio. 2018. 'Charismatic Leadership: Eliciting And Channeling Follower Emotions.' *Leadership Quarterly* 29, No. 1: 58–69.

- Teece, D.J.; Pisano, G.; Shuen, A. Dynamic Capabilities And Strategic Management. *Strateg. Manag. J.* 1997, 18, 509–533.
- Thau, S., M. Pitesa, And M. Pillutla. 2014. ‘Experiments In Organizational Behavior.’ In *Laboratory Experiments In The Social Sciences*. Edited By M. Webster, And J. Sell, 2nd Ed., 433–447. London, United Kingdom: Academic Press.
- Thomas, K.W.; Velthouse, B.A. Cognitive Elements Of Empowerment: An ‘Interpretive’ Model Of Intrinsic Task Motivation. *Acad. Manag. Rev.* 1990, 15, 666–681.
- Ugazio, G., C. Lamm, And T. Singer. 2012. ‘The Role Of Emotions For Moral Judgments Depends On The Type Of Emotion And Moral Scenario.’ *Emotion* 12, No. 3: 579–590.
- Umphress, E. E., J. B. Bingham, And M. S. Mitchell. 2010. ‘Unethical Behavior In The Name Of The Company: The Moderating Effect Of Organizational Identification And Positive Reciprocity Beliefs On Unethical Pro-Organizational Behavior.’ *Journal Of Applied Psychology* 95, No. 4: 769–780.
- Umphress, E. E., R. G. Gardner, A. C. Stoverink, And K. Leavitt. 2020. ‘Feeling Activated And Acting Unethically: The Influence Of Activated Mood On Unethical Behavior To Benefit A Teammate.’ *Personnelpsychology* 73, No. 1: 95–123.
- Van Der Voet, J.; Steijn, B. Team Innovation Through Collaboration: How Visionary Leadership Spurs Innovation Via Team Cohesion. *Public Manag. Rev.* 2021, 23, 1275–1294.
- Van Knippenberg, D., And D. Stam. 2014. ‘Visionary Leadership.’ In *The Oxford Handbook Of Leadership And Organizations*. Edited By D. V. Day, 241–259. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Van Knippenberg, D., And S. B. Sitkin. 2013. ‘A Critical Assessment Of Charismatic-Transformational Leadership Research: Back To The Drawing Board?’ *Academy Of Management Annals* 7, No. 1: 1–60.
- Van Knippenberg, D.; Stam, D. Visionary Leadership 12. In *The Oxford Handbook Of Leadership And Organizations*; Oxford University Press: Oxford, UK, 2014; Volume 241.
- Vanderstukken, A., B. Schreurs, F. Germeys, A. Van Den Broeck, And K. Proost. 2019. ‘Should Supervisors Communicate Goals Or Visions? The Moderating Role Of Subordinates’ Psychological Distance.’ *Journal Of Applied Social Psychology* 49, No. 11: 671–683.
- Venus, M., D. Stam, And D. Van Knippenberg. 2019. ‘Visions Of Change As Visions Of Continuity.’ *Academy Of Management Journal* 62, No. 3: 667–690.
- Venus, M., R. E. Johnson, S. Zhang, X. H. Wang, And K. Lanaj. 2019. ‘Seeing The Big Picture: A Within-Person Examination Of Leader Construal Level And Vision Communication.’ *Journal Of Management* 45, No. 7: 2666–2684. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206318761576>.
- Vianello, M., E. M. Galliani, And J. Haidt. 2010. ‘Elevation At Work: The Effects Of Leaders’ Moral Excellence.’ *The Journal Of Positive Psychology* 5, No. 5: 390–411.
- View Wang, L., F. Song, And C.-B. Zhong. 2022. ‘High Compensation And Unethical Reciprocity.’ *Journal Of Management* 48, No. 8: 2223–2254.
- Wang, F.R.; Fang, Y.J. An Empirical Study On The Relationship Between Organizational Culture And Technological Innovation Performance Based On Organizational Learning. *R D Manag.* 2013, 25, 36–43.
- Wang, H.; Zhang, H.; Xie, J.; Zheng, J. Seeing The Big Picture During The COVID-19 Pandemic: The Spillover Effects Of Visionary Leadership On Employees’ Work-To-Family Conflict. *Curr. Psychol.* 2024, 43, 14909–14920.
- Wang, L.; Jin, X. The Relationship Between Participative Leadership And Subordinates’ Innovative Behavior: The Serial Multiple Mediating Effects Of Knowledge Sharing And Creativity. *J. Ind. Converg.* 2023, 21, 41–63.
- Wang, L.P.; Chu, W.Q. The Impact Of Leading-Edge Status And Value Co-Creation On Users’ Innovative Performance: The Moderating Effect Of Psychological Empowerment. *Forum Sci. Technol. China* 2018, 3, 19–28.

- Wang, T., L. Long, Y. Zhang, And W. He. 2019. 'A Social Exchange Perspective Of Employee–Organization Relationships And Employee Unethical Pro-Organizational Behavior: The Moderating Role Of Individual Moral Identity.' *Journal Of Business Ethics* 159, No. 2: 473–489.
- Wang, X.; Yang, B.; Mclean, G.N. Influence Of Demographic Factors And Ownership Type Upon Organizational Learning Culture In Chinese Enterprises. *Int. J. Train. Dev.* 2007, 11, 154–165.
- Wang, Z.Z.; Zhou, L.L. A Study On The Influencing Mechanism Of Visionary Leadership On Employee Creativity. *East China Econ. Manag.* 2015, 29, 30–38.
- Weischer, A. E., J. Weibler, And M. Petersen. 2013. 'To Thine Own Self Be True': The Effects Of Enactment And Life Storytelling On Perceived Leader Authenticity.' *Leadership Quarterly* 24, No. 4: 477–495.
- Welsh, D. T., M. D. Baer, H. Sessions, And N. Garud. 2020. 'Motivated To Disengage: The Ethical Consequences Of Goal Commitment And Moral Disengagement In Goal Setting.' *Journal Of Organizational Behavior* 41, No. 7: 663–677.
- Westley, F.; Mintzberg, H. Visionary Leadership And Strategic Management. *Strateg. Manag. J.* 1989, 10, 17–32.
- Widodo, W.; Gustari, I.; Permana, R. A Mediation Model Of The Effect Of Visionary Leadership On Teachers' Organizational Citizenship Behavior. *Int. J. Learn. Teach. Educ. Res.* 2023, 22, 104–123.
- Wiltermuth, S. S. 2011. 'Cheating More When The Spoils Are Split.' *Organizational Behavior And Human Decision Processes* 115, No. 2: 157–168.
- Wu, S., And B. Keysar. 2007. 'The Effect Of Culture On Perspective Taking.' *Psychological Science* 18, No. 7: 600–606.
- Xi, X.; Xi, B.; Miao, C.; Yu, R.; Xie, J.; Xiang, R.; Hu, F. Factors Influencing Technological Innovation Efficiency In The Chinese Video Game Industry: Applying The Meta-Frontier Approach. *Technol. Forecast. Soc. Change* 2022, 178, 121574.
- Xie, W.Y.; Cheng, C.M.; Liang, Y. The Influence Of Visionary Leadership On Team Performance: Taking Team Cohesion As An Intermediary Variable. *J. Liaoning Univ. Technol. (Soc. Sci. Ed.)* 2020, 22, 43–46.
- Xu, B.H.; Deng, C.J.; Wu, H.Y. Leader-Member Exchange And Employees' Proactive Innovation Behavior: A Mediated Moderation Model. *J. Manag. Sci.* 2021, 34, 44–55.
- Yang, B.; Watkins, K.E.; Marsick, V.J. The Construct Of The Learning Organization: Dimensions, Measurement, And Validation. *Hum. Resour. Dev. Q.* 2004, 15, 31–55.
- Yang, C.J.; Cai, Y.C.; Hou, H.X. The Effect Of Transformational Leadership On Follower Organizational Citizenship Behavior: The Mechanism Of Psychological Empowerment And Job Embeddedness. *Chin. J. Manag.* 2015, 12, 231–239.
- Zebel, S., B. Doosje, And R. Spears. 2009. 'How Perspective-Taking Helps And Hinders Group-Based Guilt As A Function Of Group Identification.' *Group Processes & Intergroup Relations* 12, No. 1: 61–78.
- Zgrzywa-Ziemak, A.H.; Walecka-Jankowska, K.A.; Zimmer, J. The Effect Of Organizational Learning On Business Sustainability–The Role Of Distributed Leadership. *Learn. Organ.* 2024; Ahead-Of-Print.
- Zhang, X.; Bartol, K.M. Linking Empowering Leadership And Employee Creativity: The Influence Of Psychological Empowerment, Intrinsic Motivation, And Creative Process Engagement. *Acad. Manag. J.* 2010, 53, 107–128.
- Zhou, L.; Zhao, S.; Tian, F.; Zhang, X.; Chen, S. Visionary Leadership And Employee Creativity In China. *Int. J. Manpow.* 2018, 39, 93–105.
- Zhou, X.; Shan, M.; Li, J. R&D Strategy And Innovation Performance: The Role Of Standardization. *Technol. Anal. Strateg. Manag.* 2018, 30, 778–792.